

FOOD SECURITY CLUSTER

Strengthening Humanitarian Response

WoS HNO 2023 Severity Scoring

Severity Scoring Methodology Overview

- OCHA's review on vulnerability levels across Syria 5 levels;
- Covers 9 indicators (CARI, IDP/Returnee #, Access, Intensity of Hostility, Food Prices, Agro-Climatic conditions, Agriculture Production, Agriculture Inputs/Shocks, and Essential Expenditures (Economic Vulernability) indicator);
- Each holds a weight equal to its score apart from CARI which holds its own weight 4 times and Agriculture production holds its own weight 2 times;



WoS HNO 2021 Severity Map



WoS HNO 2022 Severity Map



WoS HNO 2023 Severity Map





FOOD SECURITY CLUSTER

Strengthening Humanitarian Response

Syria HNO 2021 Severity Score Overview

Severity	Severity Label	# of sub districts	Population	
1	None/Minimal	0	-	
2	Stress	2	56,290	
3	Severe	123	6,686,832	
4	Extreme	145	14,019,726	
5	Catastrophe	0	-	
	Total	270	20,762,848	



Syria HNO 2022 Severity Score Overview

Severity	Severity Label # of sub districts		Population	
1	None/Minimal	0	-	
2	Stress	12	235,676	
3	Severe	118	10,490,712	
4	Extreme	140	10,927,124	
5	Catastrophe	0	_	
	Total	270	21,653,512	



Syria HNO 2023 Severity Score Overview

Severity	Severity Label	Severity Label # of sub districts	
1	None/Minimal	0	-
2	Stress	3	24,293
3	Severe	175	14,457,041
4	Extreme	92	7,613,913
5	Catastrophe	0	-
	Total	270	22,095,247



Severity Indicator 1: Food Security Indicator (CARI)

Main Food Security Indicators

• FCS (Food Consumption Score).

The FCS is a composite score based on dietary diversity, food frequency, and relative nutritional Importance of different food groups.

www.wfp.org/odan/senac

• LCSI (Livelihoods Cooping Strategies).

Aim is to gauge households' ability to cope in future

10 food shortage cooping strategies are surveyed, each house hold is classified based on the worst strategy adopted by the household.

Food Share Expenditure Share.

The proportion (%) of total expenditures to buy food out of the total expenditure.

https://resources.vam.wfp.org/CARI



CARI (Consolidated Approach for Reporting Indicators of Food Security).

 It provides an indication on the Current food security status of the household by combining the previously mentioned indicators in a single indicator. <u>https://resources.vam.wfp.org/CARI</u>

Food security group	Description
1 = Food secure	Able to meet essential food and non-food needs without engaging in atypical coping strategies
2 = Marginally food secure	Has minimally adequate food consumption without engaging in irreversible coping strategies; unable to afford some essential non-food expenditures
3 = Moderately food insecure	Has significant food consumption gaps, OR Marginally able to meet minimum food needs only with irreversible coping strategies
4 = Severely food insecure	Has extreme food consumption gaps, OR Has extreme loss of livelihood assets will lead to food consumption gaps, or worse



Domain	Indicator	Food Secure	Marginally food secure	Moderately food insecure	Severely food insecure
Food	Food consumption Group	Acceptable	Marginal	Borderline	Poor
Consumption	Reduced coping strategy index (rCSI)	<4	>=4	0+	0+
Coping Capacity	Food Expenditure Share	<50%	<65%	<75%	75%+
	Livelihood coping indicator	None	Stress coping	Crisis coping	Emergency coping



PIN and Severity Score Approach: CARI

Indicator 1	Severity scale	Severity label	Thresholds: CARI % Food Insecure	Source	
	1	None/ Minimal	Less than 5% of population is food insecure		
	2StressCARI3Severe4Extreme5Catastrophic	Stress	5 - 10% of population is food insecure		
CARI		Severe	11-30% of population is food insecure	FSA, FSLA, HNO	
		31-50% of population is food insecure			
		> 50% of population is food insecure and/or area is besieged			



Key locations with high CARI severity rank

Governorates

• 13 governorates had sub-districts and districts in CARI severity scale **5**. Only Damascus in severity scale 4.

Districts

• 57 districts were classified in severity scale 5 across Syria;

Sub-districts

• 145 sub-districts were classified in severity scale **5** across Syria.



Severity Indicator 2: Area's Accessibility

Area's Accessibility – Methodology

Indicator	Severity scale	Severity label	Thresholds: OCHA population figures	Source
Area's Accessibility	1	None/ Minimal	Reached more than 8 times	Food Security
	2	Stress	Reached 6 – 8 times	Sector 5Ws
	3	Severe	Reached 4 – 5 times	(Reported by
	4	Extreme	Reached 1 – 3 times	183 Partners
	5	Catastrophic	Not Reached (Except for Sub-Districts under Districts with Severity of (May 2022)	Across Three Hubs)



Area's Accessibility -Example

Notes: Severity Score Definition:

- 1 = None/Minimal;
- · 2 = Stress;
- \cdot 3 = Severe;
- \cdot 4 = Extreme;
- 5 = Catastrophic.

			Severity Score	Frequency of food	Area's Accessibility	Average Food	Reach vs Pop - FOOD		Reach vs Pop -
Sub-district 🗸	admin3Pcode 💌	PiN 2021 🔹	HNO 2021 🔹	baskets 🔹 👻	Severity ranking score - HNO 2022	basket 🔹	BASKET	SO2 + SO3 💌	SO2 + SO3 🔹
Afrin	SY020300	99,938	4	12	None/ Minimal	38,871	21%	26569	14%
Bulbul	SY020301	19,389	3	12	None/ Minimal	13,676	41%	2791	8%
Jandairis	SY020302	34,956	3	12	None/ Minimal	63,549	61%	14039	14%
Ma'btali	SY020306	12,413	3	12	None/ Minimal	19,668	75%	1490	6%
Raju	SY020303	39,235	4	12	None/ Minimal	13,757	29%	4455	9%
Sharan	SY020304	30,881	3	12	None/ Minimal	5,502	10%	6634	12%
Sheikh El-Hadid	SY020305	4,946	3	8	Stress	6,779	58%	618	5%
Ain al Arab	SY020600	32,383	3	12	None/ Minimal	6,528	8%	8922	10%
Lower Shyookh	SY020601	16,064	3	2	Extreme	11	0%	0	0%
Sarin	SY020602	32,498	4	12	None/ Minimal	8,822	18%	0	0%
Al Bab	SY020200	147,952	4	12	None/ Minimal	39,713	20%	93629	46%
A'rima	SY020206	42,761	2	12	None/ Minimal	1,436	3%	40409	85%
Ar-Ra'ee	SY020203	9,718	3	12	None/ Minimal	5,778	23%	36866	148%
Dayr Hafir	SY020202	17,473	4	12	None/ Minimal	29,435	135%	3886	18%
Eastern Kwaires	SY020204	13,082	4	C	Catastrophic	-	0%	0	0%
Rasm Haram El-Imam	SY020205	14,510	4	2	Extreme	517	3%	274	1%
Tadaf	SY020201	15,993	4	7	Stress	358	2%	2342	12%
As-Safira	SY020700	32,590	3	12	None/ Minimal	43,361	109%	17936	45%
Banan	SY020702	1,968	4	3	Extreme	-	0%	540	24%
Hajeb	SY020703	470	3	1	Extreme	-	0%	12	2%
Khanaser	SY020701	156	2	0	Catastrophic	-	0%	0	0%
Aghtrin	SY020401	66,244	4	12	None/ Minimal	51,922	51%	15271	15%



Area's Accessibility – Severity ranking score HNO 2022

By Count of SD; Monthly frequency; Average SO1, SO2 and SO3

Area's Accessibility					
Severity ranking score - HNO 2022 🔽	Count of Sub districts2 🔽	PiN 2021	Monthly frequency 🔽	Average - SO1 🛛 🔹	Average - SO2 + SO3 🔽
None/ Minimal	176	12,994,266	12	6,397,152	2,643,184
Stress	25	396,238	8	180,995	44,453
Severe	11	148,369	5	31,494	28,852
Extreme	28	177,931	3	6,239	19,008
Catastrophic	30	165,250		-	-
Grand Total	240	13,882,053	12	6,615,880	2,735,497



Sub District in Catastrophic category

Area's Accessibility – Severity ranking score HNO 2022

Hub	Gov	Sub-district	
	Alanna	Eastern Kwaires	
	Ајерро	Khanaser	
		Ariqa	
	As-Sweida	Gharyeh	
	AS-Swelua	Milh	
		Thibeen	
	Deir-ez-Zor	Jalaa	
	Hama	Kafr Zeita	
		Nasra	
	Homs	Qabu	
		Sokhneh	
		Ein Elsharqiyeh	
		Hanadi	
		Harf Elmseitra	
нст	Lattakia	Jobet Berghal	
		Kansaba	
		Kasab	
		Salanfa	
	Quneitra	Fiq	
	Rural Damascus	Hajar Aswad	
		Sabe Byar	
		Arwad	
		Bariqiyeh	
	Tartous	Hamin	
		Jneinet Raslan	
		Ras El-Khashufeh	
		Taleen	
		Abul Thohur	
	Idleb	Heish	
		Ma'arrat An Nu'man	



Severity Indicator 3: % IDP and Returnee/ Total population

IDP + Returnee – Methodology

Indicator	Severity scale	Severity label	Thresholds: IDP/Returnee Population	Source		
	1 None/ Minimal Population not experiencing population movements in the past year					
	2	Stress	< 10% of the population in the area is an IDP or returnee	OCHA Final		
percentage/Total	3	Severe	11%-30% of the population in the area is an IDP or returnee	Population Figures (May		
ropulation	4	Extreme 31-50% of the population in the area is an IDP or returnee		2022)		
	5	Catastrophic	> 50% of population in the area is an IDP or returnee			



IDPs and Returnees percentage/Total Population - Example

- Notes: Severity Score Definition:
- 1 = None/Minimal;
- 2 = Stress;
- 3 = Severe;
- 4 = Extreme;
- 5 = Catastrophic.

Governorate	District	Cub district	DIN 2021		# of Dotumpoor	Total Dan(May 2022)		% non movement	IDP+Returnee
	DISTINC				# Of Returnees		IDP T NEL	% pop movement	Sevency ranking score - HNO 202
Aleppo	Afrin	Afrin	99,938	126156	151	187868	126307	67%	Catastrophic
Aleppo	Afrin	Bulbul	19,389	0	0	33268	0	0%	None/ Minimal
Aleppo	Afrin	Jandairis	34,956	104296	2	103764	104298	101%	Catastrophic
Aleppo	Afrin	Ma'btali	12,413	10738	0	26143	10738	41%	Extreme
Aleppo	Afrin	Raju	39,235	44958	7	47749	44965	94%	Catastrophic
Aleppo	Afrin	Sharan	30,881	23122	25	55667	23147	42%	Extreme
Aleppo	Afrin	Sheikh El-Hadid	4,946	203	0	11720	203	2%	Stress
Aleppo	Ain Al Arab	Ain al Arab	32,383	14495	160	86088	14655	17%	Severe
Aleppo	Ain Al Arab	Lower Shyookh	16,064	6	0	15961	6	0%	None/ Minimal
Aleppo	Ain Al Arab	Sarin	32,498	4427	167	50158	4594	9%	Stress
Aleppo	Al Bab	Al Bab	147,952	116511	403	202118	116914	58%	Catastrophic
Aleppo	Al Bab	A'rima	42,761	7168	18	47453	7186	15%	Severe
Aleppo	Al Bab	Ar-Ra'ee	9,718	52360	8	24833	52368	211%	Catastrophic
Aleppo	Al Bab	Dayr Hafir	17,473	0	274	21737	274	1%	Stress
Aleppo	Al Bab	Rasm Haram El-Imam	14,510	0	274	19492	274	1%	Stress



IDPs + Returnees vs Total Population Severity ranking score – HNO 2022

By Count of SD; Monthly frequency; Average SO1, SO2 and SO3

IDP+Returnee				
Severity ranking score - HNO 2022 🔽	Count of Sub districts 🛛 🔽	PiN 2021 🗾	Sum of # of IDPs2 🛛 🔽	Sum of # of Returnees 💌
None/ Minimal	167	8,965,844	741	2,329
Stress	42	2,308,410	110,311	13,750
Severe	4	364,005	106,335	1,284
Extreme	11	1,085,036	602,501	1,388
Catastrophic	16	993,508	1,558,332	2,272
Grand Total	240	13,716,803	2,378,220	21,023



Sub District in Catastrophic category

IDP + Returnee Severity ranking score - HNO 2022

Hub	Governorate	Sub district
		Al-Hasakeh
	Al-Hasakeh	Al-Malikeyyeh
NES NGO FOI UIII		Areesheh
	Deir-ez-Zor	Kisreh
Syria HCT	Lattakia	Rabee'a
		Afrin
		Aghtrin
		Al Bab
	Alonno	Ar-Ra'ee
	Ајерро	Jandairis
Syria XB HLG		Jarablus
		Mare'
		Raju
		Badama
	Idleb	Bennsh
		Idleb



Severity Indicator 4: Intensity of Hostilities

Intensity of Hostilities - Steps

Use Intensity of Hostilities developed by Protection Sector Classification of Severity by taking into consideration incidents within a set duration: Average of "intensity of hostilities since 2015" and "intensity of hostilities in the last six months"

Incidences Considered:

- Air strikes
- HWF
- IED/Explosion
- Handheld Firearms





The process of "intensity of hostilities indicator" (OCHA)

Extracting dataframes from INSO (last 6 months and since 2015). Applying weights to the extracted raw INSO data and coming up with weighted dataset.

Clustering data to divide them into 5 severity scores.

Taking average of it to come with final scores.



Weighted scores calculation





Weighting ranges

Since Begin 2015			Last 6 r	months		
From		То	From	То	Severity scores	
	0	2,463	0	80	1	
	2,463	7,854	80	286	2	
	7,854	18,257	286	729	3	
	18,257	36,192	729	1,563	4	
	36,192	74,293	1,563	2,646	5	



Incidents * weight of each category



Weights of each category

Category	Weight
Airstrike	6
Handheld fire arms	4
HWF (heavy weapon fight)	5
IED/ Explosion	5



Severity by # of sub-districts

Severity ranking	Aleppo	Al- Hasakeh	Ar-Raqqa	As-Sweida	Damascus	Dar'a	Deir-ez- Zor	Hama	Homs	Idleb	Lattakia	Quneitra	Rural Damascus	Tartous	Total SD/ severity
0											1	1		10	12
1	. 19	6	5	11		3	2	g	15	11	18	3	18	18	138
2	11	6	5 1	1		11	8	g	6	7	1	1	17		79
3	7	2	2		1	. 1	3	3	2	3		1	1		26
4	3	2	2			1	1	1		4					14
5						1				1	2				4



Severity Indicator 5: Food Prices

Food basket increased by 76 percent in 12 months, more than double in some Governorates. Supply chain disruptions and uncertainties, stemming from the crisis in Ukraine led, in part, to much of the price surge over the last 12 months.

Continued depreciation of the local currency, 25 percent in 12 months, for a net-food importer, added to domestic price firmness leading to fundamental food security risks.

Spread between official and parallel rates has grown from 37 percent to 52 percent within 12 months, rendering the general price levels in the local economy to reflect much of the parallel market exchange rate.

Last 12 months experienced periods of excessive high commodity prices, *high volatility*, associated with the various crises.



Food prices Summary

Food Basket Cost and Changes (in SYP)

Governorate	Sept - 2022	Feb - 2022	Sept - 2021	Oct - 2021	Sept - 2020
Al-Hasakeh	292,869	38%	109%	109%	267%
Tartous	355,584	40%	95%	117%	325%
As-Sweida	349,513	56%	92%	81%	321%
Rural Damascus	346,876	44%	91%	71%	328%
Damascus	346,244	44%	88%	68%	339%
Homs	341,016	53%	86%	72%	316%
Dar'a	360,915	45%	85%	72%	323%
Quneitra	343,469	43%	79%	62%	301%
National ave.	329,526	40%	76%	63%	294%
Hama	335,717	46%	75%	57%	309%
Deir-ez-Zor	279,071	27%	69%	52%	271%
Aleppo	315,192	34%	62%	52%	290%
Lattakia	309,895	34%	58%	45%	263%
Ar-Raqqa	297,147	28%	55%	54%	273%
Idleb	336,209	30%	48%	45%	209%

- Sep 2022, Food basket increased by 40% since onset of crisis in Ukraine.
- Up 76% YoY
- Up 300 % in 2 years.

The standard reference food basket is a group of essential food commodities. In Syria, the food basket is set at a group of dry goods providing 2,030 kcal a day for a family of five during a month. The basket includes 37 kg bread, 19 kg rice, 19 kg lentils, 5 kg of sugar, and 7 litres of vegetable oil)





Food basket monthly trends





Food Inflation (2016-2022)



From 2020, Y-o-y price changes of the food basket is used as a proxy for food inflation





Exchange rates and food basket



Currency devaluation immediately reduced the gap with the informal exchange rate and witnessed some limited food price stability, however, these positive outcomes were short-lived



Coefficient of Variation, Sep-2021 to Sep 2022

	Bulgur	Fuel-Gas	Lentils	Sugar	Veg Oil	Wheat Flour	Rice
Tartous	0.56	0.58	0.43	0.40	0.42	0.31	0.33
Dar'a	0.55	0.44	0.56	0.39	0.38	0.40	0.24
Quneitra	0.54	0.56	0.49	0.40	0.39	0.36	0.21
Rural Damascus	0.53	0.62	0.48	0.41	0.38	0.38	0.27
Damascus	0.53	0.64	0.49	0.40	0.38	0.36	0.27
Deir-ez-Zor	0.52	0.54	0.45	0.33	0.30	0.32	0.24
Hama	0.51	0.48	0.42	0.39	0.38	0.34	0.28
Lattakia	0.50	0.55	0.40	0.38	0.38	0.34	0.25
Homs	0.50	0.54	0.45	0.38	0.37	0.29	0.27
Ar-Raqqa	0.46	0.47	0.31	0.35	0.36	0.22	0.25
As-Sweida	0.45	0.57	0.53	0.39	0.37	0.33	0.24
Aleppo	0.41	0.34	0.37	0.36	0.36	0.33	0.23
Idleb	0.40	0.24	0.31	0.31	0.34	0.30	0.28
Al-Hasakeh	0.35	0.44	0.50	0.30	0.35	0.28	0.29

A high CV indicates high volatility in price levels



Market Prices

Indicator 6	Severity scale	Severity label	Thresholds: WFP food basket prices over pre-crisis average	Source
	1	None/ Minimal	Governorate or district WFP average food basket price is in the pre-crisis norm ((October 2019/Sep 2021)	
	2	Stress	Governorate or district WFP average food basket price is below the national average for the year (Sep21 – 2020), above the pre-crisis average but decreasing	
Market	3	Severe	Governorate or district WFP average food basket price is below the national average for the year (Sep 2021 – Sep 2022), above the pre-crisis average but increasing	WFP food
prices	4	Extreme	 *Governorate or district WFP average food basket price is the same (+/- 5%) as the national average for the year (Sep 2021 – Sep 2022); *Governorate or district WFP average food basket price is above the national average for the year (Sep 2021 – Sep 2022), *Governorate or district WFP average food basket price is above SYP 100,000 compared to HNO 2021 food basket price levels 	prices
	5	Catastrophic	Main staple food items (bread, pulses, cooking oil) are not available on local markets by district/ governorate	

All Governorates are in classified in category 4



Severity Indicator 6: Essential Expenditure Indicator

- The purpose of this indicator is to reflect the household economic vulnerability by measuring their capacity of obtaining essential items (food + rent + water + energy + health) out of their total budget.
- This indicator is based on the premise that the greater the essential needs portion within a household's overall expenditure, the more economically vulnerable the household.
- The EES indicator is essentially constructed by dividing the HH essential needs by the total household expenditures, after which it distributed into the following thresholds
- The results are incorporated with the population data (subdistricts), and converted to the 5-point scale using the "severely food insecure" column.



Calculation of EES

EES= <u>Food +Water +Health+Energy+Rent</u>

Total HH Expenditure

Indicator	Food Secure	Marginally food secure	Moderately food insecure	Severely food insecure
Essentials Expenditure Share (EES)	<50%	50% -< 65%	65 -< 75%	> 75%



Conversion to the 5 Point-Scale

	EES Prev	Rank	
Non/Minimal	0%	20%	1
Stress	20.1%	40%	2
Severe	40.1	60%	3
Extreme	60.1%	80%	4
Catastrophic	80.1%	100%	5





HNO 2023

Agriculture and Food Production Related Indicators Severity Indicators 7,8 &9

FAO Syria Team

HNO 2022 Indicators – FAO Perspective

- 7. Agro-Climatic Conditions
- 8. Agricultural Inputs Availability and Access
- 9. Agriculture Production

5	Catastrophic
4	Extreme
3	Severe
2	Stress
1	None/Minimal



Data and Information Sources

- 1. National survey of household farmers.
- 2. KIIs, and Farmer FGDs.
- 1. FAO Global Information and Early Warning System (GIEWS) website.
- 2. Secondary data and other FAO publications.



7. Agro-Climatic indicator





7. Agro-Climatic indicator (Precipitation)

2021-2022 Precipitation Severity



Temporal distribution of rainfall during the season.





7. Agro-Climatic indicator (Temperature)

- **High temperatures** that exceeded the long term averages LTA (3-5 C°) in most months of the season led to stress the crops,
- **Frosts** during February and March, which were followed by a sharp and sudden rise in temperatures, also affected agricultural crops in general.
- The huge difference between day and night temperatures greatly affected crop yields and reduced flowering



2021-2022 Temperature Anomalies Severity

7. Agro-Climatic Conditions (Vegetation)

Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) Anomaly, April 2022

Agricultural Stress Index (ASI) Anomaly, April 2022, dekad 3





7. Agro-Climatic Conditions (Aggregated)

- Comparison of the difference in the 2021 2022 agricultural season measures of precipitation, temperature and ASI to the long-term average (LTA).
- Calculations of weather anomalies done on a monthly basis during the entire 2021 2022 season in all governorates.
- The Aggregation of the severity scales of the three indicators provides the **overall severity scale** for the agro-climatic conditions.

Governorate	Temp	Rainfall	ASI	Climate
Aleppo	3	3	5	4
Al-Hasakeh	4	4	4	4
Ar-Raqqa	3	4	3	3
As-Sweida	3	2	4	3
Dar'a	3	3	2	3
Deir-ez-Zor	3	5	3	4
Hama	3	3	2	3
Homs	3	2	2	2
Idleb	4	3	3	3
Lattakia	2	2	1	2
Quneitra	3	3	2	3
Rural Damascus	3	3	4	3
Tartous	3	2	2	2



7. Agro-Climatic Conditions (Aggregated)

- The 2021 2022 agricultural season recorded significant agro-climatic anomalies in terms of precipitation, temperature and vegetation.
- The whole country was affected by these **agro-climatic anomalies**, especially the northern governorates.
- Farmers failed to sufficiently irrigate their crops (i.e. damaged infrastructure, fuel shortages, declining surface and ground water levels,...), production became crucially **sensitive to climate conditions.**
- Natural pastures significantly affected by **adverse climatic conditions** as well.





8. Access to Quality Agricultural Inputs

- Continued crisis, economic fluctuation, economic sanctions in addition to the unstable exchange rate continue to erode the purchasing power of the population, including farmers.
- The agricultural inputs were monitored and evaluated (seeds, agrochemicals, livestock and feed, veterinary services, irrigation equipment, machinery, fuel and labour).
- This measure covers the **availability**, quality, and prices to the agricultural inputs in the markets.
- The high cost of most of the agriculture inputs during the last year has severely affected agriculture activities across the country, and decreased production and profit overall.
- The low availability of subsidized production inputs and their very high prices limited its use by farmers as required.

Governorate	Supplies	Quality	Prices	Average
Aleppo	4	2	5	4
Al-Hasakeh	4	2	5	4
Ar-Raqqa	3	2	5	3
As-Sweida	4	4	5	4
Dar'a	5	2	5	4
Deir-ez-Zor	4	3	5	4
Hama	4	3	5	4
Homs	4	2	5	4
Idleb	5	2	5	4
Lattakia	4	2	5	4
Quneitra	4	2	5	4
R Damascus	4	4	5	4
Tartous	4	2	5	4



8. Agricultural Inputs Availability and Access (continued)

- Findings showed that low **availability and access** to adequate fertilizer, pesticide, livestock feed and fuel was the major constraint.
- Other inputs were generally available but **costly** and unaffordable.
- Farmers in marginal areas were more affected by **limited physical access** to central/far markets due to the **expensive fuel** and **high transportation**





FOOD SECURITY

Strengthening Humanitarian Response

9. Agriculture Production

- For the second season in a row, national wheat production was at its lowest level in recent decades
- The **national production of wheat has declined** and reached only one-third compared to the long-term average (LTA).
- This indicates a **deteriorated situation in terms of crop production** in the country, including cereals, legumes, vegetables, fodder crops and cash crops.



Wheat average yield (kg/ha)





9. Agriculture Production

- Low and uneven distribution of rainfall all associated with temperature anomalies affected agriculture production.
- **Damaged irrigation infrastructure, water scarcity** and **shortage of fuel** to pump water has limited the farmers access to irrigation water,
- The shortage of quality and affordable agricultural inputs amplified the **impact of the adverse climatic conditions.**
- The decline of pastures due to the poor rainy seasons led to an increase in the demand for fodder, which is not available as required and its prices are very high, poor veterinary services made the livestock in a very poor health and nutritional condition.



Strengthening Humanitarian Response

9. Agriculture Production

- Production of wheat in 2022 were compared to the long-term average (LTA), especially in the main wheat-producing governorates
- The **rainfed crops generally failed**, and the yields of the **irrigated crops decreased significantly**.
- The decline in agricultural production in general, specifically wheat, the reduction of agricultural and animal food produced locally, It exacerbated the food insecurity of the population and weakened agricultural livelihoods.

	Production
Governorate	Losses Severity
Aleppo	3
Al-Hasakeh	5
Ar-Raqqa	4
As-Sweida	5
Dar'a	3
Deir-ez-Zor	4
Hama	4
Homs	3
Idleb	3
Lattakia	4
Quneitra	4
Rural Damascus	4
Tartous	4



Projection of 2022 - 2023 Season – Rainfall anomalies







September Dekad II







September



October Dekad I

November Dekad I



October Dekad II



October Dekad III



October





Projection of 2022 - 2023 Season – NDVI





Projection of 2022-2023 Season – NDVI 2021 Ves 2022



KEY MESSAGES

- Several factors together affected agricultural production, most notably the fluctuations of the agro-climate and the low availability of the production inputs, especially fertilizer and fuel.
- The losses of agricultural production were not limited to crops, but also **included the production of livestock.**
- The loss of agricultural production has exacerbated the food insecurity of the population, affected the livelihoods of the smallholders farmers, and reduced agricultural employment opportunities.
- Preliminary forecasts and information indicate that the current agricultural season may not be promising as well
- If the current season's poor performance continues, it is expected to be a further deterioration in food security

Governorate	Climate	Inputs	Production
Aleppo	4	4	3
Al-Hasakeh	4	4	5
Ar-Raqqa	3	3	4
As-Sweida	3	4	5
Dar'a	3	4	3
Deir-ez-Zor	4	4	4
Hama	3	4	4
Homs	2	4	3
Idleb	3	4	3
Lattakia	2	4	4
Quneitra	3	4	4
Rural Damascus	3	4	4
Tartous	2	4	4





KEY MESSAGES

- There is an **urgent need to** continue **emergency interventions** for the affected and **vulnerable small farmers by support them with production inputs**, in order to enable farmer to maintain their agricultural livelihoods and to increase the national food production.
- The need to **support livelihoods** in general and in particular **the agriculture basedlivelihoods**, because it is the pillar of the Syrian economy and the main stone of **early recovery**.
- Supporting **climate-smart agricultural approach** and motivating and helping farmers to adopt them to cop the increasing climate changes,
- Supporting early recovery interventions and livelihoods through the rehabilitation of infrastructure and productive assets for agricultural communities and families.
- Enhancing farmers and agricultural producers' **access to markets, as well as access to market information** on a regular basis,
- Supporting early warning systems and measures to reduce natural risks to agricultural production,



Thank You