December 29-30th 2017 (Eviction Event)
• Assessment data available
  – DRC: December 31 2018
  – Joint Assessment Report: January 02 2018
  – NRC eviction incident report
• January 3-5 2018: FSC email to Mogadishu membership and targeted emails at Nairobi-level Leadership of possible responders;
• NRC takes the lead @ field level coordination;
• January 8th 2018- Profile of responders established;
• January 11th Coordination meeting held @ WFP
• January 14th-15th joint action: verification/validation/communication/coordination
### Response Takes Shape

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>HHs</th>
<th>Intervention Modality</th>
<th>Transfer size ($)</th>
<th>Duration Months</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DRC</td>
<td>2,430</td>
<td>UCT</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kahda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>MPG</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kahda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCI</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>UCT</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kahda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWW</td>
<td>1,150</td>
<td>UCT</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kahda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercy Corps</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>UCT</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Daynile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Appeal</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>Food-in kind</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Daynile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islamic Relief</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Food-in kind</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Daynile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFP</td>
<td></td>
<td>UCT</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**January 11th- In Position To Coordinate**
Understandably- took time for some actors to confirm (i) target caseload (ii) transfer value (final confirmations on the day of the Coordination Meeting)

Agenda-
- Clarifications: transfer values, duration of assistance, targeted areas;
- Harmonization of transfer values (possibility);
- Communication to communities- rational of different transfer values (put in touch with AAP Specialist FSC);
- Complaints Response Mechanisms: what is in place, how can we harmonize;
• **Meeting set:**
  – Some organizations registered and distributing
  – Some organization using different community approaches, however…NRC, DRC, CWW, and SCI
  – agreement on/achievement:
    • Joint meeting at the sites.
    • Joined up communication on transfer values- highlight various objectives (food security vs multi-purpose) with local administration, committees and Communities;
    • Need to have joint verification exercise, sharing list (1st to register will maintain their list, while other looks for new caseload-(SCI to target Shabelle site)
    • Joint Validation exercise
    • Those who had not registered yet (Islamic Relief, HINNA, Mercy Corps) agreed to target a different site with joint assessment and verification (Daynile site);
    • Different organizations were able to see how best they could allocate resources to met un-met needs and/or compliment (e.g. WFP distributed High Energy Biscuits to 23,340 individuals and one wet-feeding site to assist evicted people with wet meals)- **CCS and SIHO**.
Lesson Learnt...

- Hard to negotiate/agree transfer values (post-facto);
- Effectiveness of joint verification (approximately 1000 duplications of registration captured/corrected);
- FSC coordinated top and bottom (communication with VCs and Nairobi-leadership to ensure buy-in and coherence);
- Relatively quick confirmation (actors, transfer values, timeframe)- allowed FSC time to guide agenda-setting at the field (flag risk, highlight mitigation)
- Remote guidance to VCs can steer response and set agendas to improve exercise. Strong, competent VCs make a big difference (great work- NRC)
- Joined up communication strategy on transfer value reduced confusion with community.
- Gaps: did not think of the need to highlight potential fake NGOs (people who sold tokens to beneficiaries);
- Behaved well, agreed and compromised throughout.
- What is the exit strategy?
• We might have had one organization undertake the registration for the entire event, followed by a joint verification by all interested organizations.
  – Interoperability between registration systems;
  – Harmonization of approach to community engagement and information-sharing protocols
  – Joint communication strategies and harmonized transfer values