



Humanitarian Situation Update – Oct, 2023 Bulletin

Cadre Harmonisé Task Force on Inaccessible Areas



KEY TAKEAWAYS

- *The findings from the HSM showed concerning food consumption deficits and limited diversity of diets in the inaccessible areas surveyed. About 51 percent of the surveyed households struggled to have sufficient food intake and 72 percent experienced a crisis or higher levels (CH Phase 3 and above) of food deprivation and hunger, further evidenced in the pervasive use of food-based coping strategies;*
- *45 percent of the households relied on crisis coping strategies to meet their food needs, which heightens economic vulnerability due to the negative impact on the future productivity of the most affected households;*
- *The levels of acute malnutrition among new arrivals from the inaccessible areas are serious (Phase 4 IPC Acute Malnutrition Classification) with the overall Global Acute Malnutrition (GAM) rates of 19.3 percent and Severe Acute Malnutrition (SAM) at 6.0 percent. The high levels of acute malnutrition indicate an extremely stressed population in relation to food insecurity, poor water, and sanitation access, and poor health conditions as the key underlying causes of acute malnutrition.*
- *Detailed analysis among new arrival population with good quality and adequate sample size showed extremely critical (Phase 5) in two of the areas analyzed and Critical (Phase 4) in three of the areas analyzed. According to the HSM results, a sizeable proportion of the children (6-59 months) are suffering from stunting and underweight. This is characteristic of a chronically stressed situation of poor nutrition and persistent infection.*
- *Overall, both crude and under five mortality rates (CMR and U5MR) had always been above emergency threshold of 1 deaths/10,000 population/day and 2 death/10,000 children <5yr/day, respectively; as they had always been over the periods of this Humanitarian Situation Monitoring. We are not able to conduct any analysis on mortality in this edition because the data we collected was not up-to-date as regards the recall period. It is therefore, important that we watch for the most nutritionally vulnerable LGAs as seen in previous editions of this HSM Bulletin.*
- *The elevated levels of consumption gaps, malnutrition, mortality, and unsustainable usage of emergency coping strategies, is largely driven by the limited availability of food stocks, restricted access to functional markets and poor water, health and sanitation services, which might heighten morbidity risk, and, impact more negatively on households' ability to engage in labour for food or resource gathering.*

INTRODUCTION


The insurgency in the North East States of Borno, Adamawa and Yobe continues to render some areas totally or partially inaccessible to humanitarian response agencies/partners. The protracted nature of this conflict has made the humanitarian crisis in the North East much more complicated, and, rendering parts of Borno, Adamawa and Yobe State inaccessible.

To address information gaps facing humanitarian response in Northeast Nigeria and, inform humanitarian actors on the demographics of the population in inaccessible areas, identify their needs, access to services and movement intentions, there have been joint efforts by various stakeholders' to proffer solutions and fill the information gaps.

Several cycles of the Cadre Harmonisé (CH) analysis unveiled the problem situation of populations in some inaccessible areas. From the results of March 2023 CH analysis in which 3,207,298 and 4,250,397 persons for the (Mar – May) and (June – August 2023) periods, respectively, were classified in phase 3 – 5 of acute food and nutrition insecurity across the three states of Borno, Adamawa and Yobe, large proportion of this populations are located in both the totally and partially inaccessible areas of these BAY states. The final results from the Mar, 2023 CH round further reveal presence of 300,807 people in CH Emergency (phase 4) in Mar to May, 2023, with high risk of further deterioration to almost 522,366 in Emergency at the peak of the lean season next year (June to August, 2023).

Majority of the people in Emergency and those projected to experience Catastrophe-like conditions are from the inaccessible areas. Moreover, the findings suggest a famine-like food consumption pattern among minority of the inaccessible population (≤ 10 percent), which was reflective in severe food consumption deficits, extremely limited diversity of diets and pervasive use of food-based ration control with wild food foraging remaining a major food source in these areas. However, higher-level indicators (acute malnutrition and mortality) were insufficient to confirm famine conditions in these areas. Therefore, it is necessary to sustain close monitoring of the food and nutrition security situation of the vulnerable population in these areas for emergency preparedness against possible further deterioration into famine, especially during the lean season (June-August, 2023). Thus, the Task Force on Inaccessible Areas, working in liaison with the various partners, developed a real time monitoring system, including monthly data collection, for tracking the evolution of emergency needs during CH projection periods.

The result is an evidence-based approach improving the capacity for analysis of emergency needs through identifying areas requiring scale up of data collection prior to CH analyses workshops and using real time analysis for flagging areas with increased risk of severe outcomes during the CH projected period. Therefore, the Humanitarian Situation Monitoring System attempts to provide data needed to support analysis for the risk of catastrophic or famine-like conditions in hard-to-reach locations, either increasing the amount of data provided to the CH analysis process or improving the frequency of reliable data to support real time analysis of proxy outcomes of food and nutrition security when unexpected events develop outside the CH analysis cycle.



Map 1: Inaccessible Areas Covered from June to November, 2022

RESULTS

Outcomes – Food Security

Food Consumption (FCS, rCSI and HHS)

The food consumption for the HSM is measured in three dimensions in line with the provision of the CH version 2.0 – food consumption score (FCS), reduced coping strategy index (rCSI), and Household Hunger Scale (HHS).

Following the harvest of crops from the past growing season, some households in hard-to-reach areas would likely have some stocks of staple food items while others continue to face food consumption gaps and less diverse diets, owing to several factors including poor access to markets, limited access to own produced stocks due to constrained access to agricultural inputs, coupled with the fragile security environment. The findings from the HSM shows concerning food consumption gaps and limited diversity of diets in several of the inaccessible areas surveyed. Overall, 51% percent of households faced inadequate food intake (poor and borderline food consumption score) during the last 30 days spent in their inaccessible places of origin of which 18% percent of such households were reportedly affected by poor food consumption while 33% percent were affected by borderline food consumption. This implies that the FCS is at the stressed level (CH Phase 2). Most households have minimally adequate food consumption but cannot afford some basic non-food expenditures without engaging in irreversible coping strategies. The food security situation remains generally unchanged as compared to March 2023 when the FCS was classified as Critical

While the global findings on the proportion of households with inadequate food consumption are lower than some of the areas at indicative levels Bama, Chibok, Dambua, Dikwa and Gaidam LGAs, which have a relatively higher level of confidence interval given their sample size, showed quite concerning findings as 81,78,78,98,71 percent respectively of most surveyed households had inadequate diets (poor + borderline food consumption) in their places of origin.

Regarding the diversity of diets, overall, households consumed cereals for 6 out of 7 days and vegetables for 5 out of 7 days on average, fat for 2 out of 7 days. All other food groups (pulses, proteins, sugar, and fruits) were consumed for two days or less in every typical seven-day period with milk and dairy being the least consumed food item. In Hong, Abadam, Gubio, Monguno, Giedam, Gujba and Gulani, Chibok, Dambua, Guzamala, Gwoza, Magumeri, Marte, and Ngala where most households had inadequate food consumption, on average households consumed cereals for 6 to 7 days on average. The concentration on the consumption of one major food item in these inaccessible areas is indicative of significant macro and micronutrient deficiency, which has implications for the health, well-being, and economic productivity of the people trapped in these areas.




Chart 1: Average Number of Consumption Days for Groups

Reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI)

The reduced coping strategy index which is an indicator of household food access calculates the frequency and severity of five standard food consumption behaviors into a score to determine the magnitude of food access challenges. A high score in the reduced coping strategy index reflects severe use of food-based coping strategies and the prevalence of considerable food access challenges in the household. Some 45 percent of households reported reduced coping strategy index (rCSI) scores equal or greater than 6.3, which is the most severe categorization according to the CH guidelines (CH Phase 3). In general, households in Madagali, Abadam, Bama, Chibok, Dambua, Dikwa, Gubio, Guzamala, Gwoza, Kukawa, Magumeri, Marte, Gaidam, Gujba, and Gulani LGAs contributed significantly to the global average as 100,72,69,63,100,64,88 percent of households respectively were in CH Phase 3, with an rCSI score equal or greater than 19, considering the relatively higher level of confidence interval given their sample size. In this given context of the rCSI, households in inaccessible areas adopted multiple alimentary-based coping strategies such as reliance on less preferred or less expensive food, and reduction in the number of meals or portion size for an average of three days out of a typical seven-day period.

The frequency of adoption of these strategies was relatively higher in Hong, Abadam, Dambua, Dikwa, Gubio, Guzamala, Kukawa, Magumeri, Gujba, and Gulani where households utilized all five standard food consumption behaviors for at least 3 of seven days which suggests widespread vulnerability in these locations. The pervasive use of food-based coping strategies such as reduction in the number of meals and portion size has implications on nutrition, if protracted and unabated.

Household Hunger Scale (HHS)

Findings from the HHS, which is a perception-based measure of food deprivation and experience of hunger in the surveyed households showed that most households (72 percent) experienced crisis or higher levels (CH Phase 3 and above) of food deprivation and hunger according to the CH analysis guidelines. Specifically, 5.0 and 3 percent of households were affected by emergency and catastrophe/famine levels of HHS respectively while 64 percent reported crisis level of HHS. Based on the metrics presented, HHS for inaccessible areas of BAY States was classified as CH Phase 3 (crisis). This suggests worrisome HHS trends and significant food deprivation as well as the incidence of hunger especially in most LGAs which are in Crisis CH phase classification.

Evolution of Livelihoods

Livelihood-based coping strategies depict the status of households' livelihood stress and the consequential longer-term impact on future coping capability and productivity. Livelihood coping strategies are classified into the following three severity categories 'stress', 'crisis', and 'emergency', with emergency being the most severe category and is classified as CH Phase 4 (Emergency) based on the CH guidelines. Overall, the livelihood coping indicator was classified in CH Phase 4 with 56 percent of the interviewed households using emergency livelihood-based coping strategies while 8 percent used crisis coping strategies to meet their food needs during the last 30 days spent in their inaccessible areas of origin. In terms of individual strategies specifically for emergency, 48 percent sent family members to beg, whereas in the crisis category, 55 percent of households spent their savings and 16 percent withdrew their children from school. While reliance on these severe livelihood coping strategies (crisis and/or emergency) might alleviate the brunt of food insecurity in the short-term, their pervasive usage is particularly worrisome on the longer-term given their negative impact on future productivity of the affected households.




Chart 2: Livelihood Coping Strategies

Outcomes – Nutrition Malnutrition

Global Acute Malnutrition (GAM) Acute malnutrition is determined by taking the weight, height and MUAC measurements for children aged 6-59 months. Acute malnutrition is most responsive to changes in diet and disease and the most dangerous form of malnutrition in terms of mortality risk.

The overall prevalence of global acute malnutrition (GAM) and severe acute malnutrition (SAM) in the inaccessible areas across BAY states were 19.3 percent and 6.0 percent respectively (Chart 3). This indicates an increase of

1.9%-point for GAM and decrease of 1.5%-point for SAM compared to September 2023 prevalence, in which prevalence were GAM (17.4%) and SAM (7.5%) respectively. GAM prevalence was higher among boys (21.6%) compared to girls (17.2%).

According to the HSM findings, the overall levels of acute malnutrition among new arrivals from inaccessible areas for the month of October 2023 is Critical (IPC Acute Malnutrition Phase 4), which is similar compared to the previous reporting period. This is likely attributed to high stress levels among displaced households to meet food needs, high retail prices for staple foods, high food consumption gaps and morbidity, and the lack of access to improved sanitation facilities. This trend of high acute malnutrition levels is expected to persist attributed to the protracted food and economic crisis.

Further analysis among new arrivals from LGAs with adequate representativeness for the analysis (data from ≥3 clusters) shows Bama with Extremely Critical GAM rates (IPC AMN Phase 5), Gwoza with critical GAM rates (IPC AMN Phase 4) and Kukawa with Serious GAM rates (IPC AMN Phase 3). However, Madagali and Magumeri is classified as Alert (IPC AMN Phase 2).




Chart 3: Global Acute Malnutrition (GAM%) Rates per Location

The prevalence of acute malnutrition was generally higher among young children (6-23months) compared to older age groups (24-59months) (Chart 4). Younger children are the most vulnerable and therefore bear the brunt of displacements, poor feeding practices, and morbidity.




Chart 4: Prevalence of Acute Malnutrition by Age

Chronic Malnutrition

Chronic malnutrition (stunting) is determined by comparing the height and age of the children measured. Stunting is a measure of chronic malnutrition that occurs because of inadequate nutrition over a longer period. Underweight refers to the proportion of children with low weight-for-age.

Stunting and Underweight: HSM data reveals that 37.3 percent of the children aged 6-59 months among new arrivals in BAY states were stunted while 32.9 percent were underweight. This shows an increase of about 10.7%-point for Stunting and a decrease of 2.0%-point for Underweight when compared to the previous result in September 2023 where stunting was 26.6% and underweight was 34.9%. The consistently high prevalence of stunting and underweight are an indication of a protracted crisis and other synergistic drivers exacerbating hunger, disease, and malnutrition.

Mortality

We did not have updated data to enable the analysis of and obtainment of results on mortality. (Not ethical to publish the mortality results because the recall period is outdated).

Note:

Data on malnutrition and mortality must be interpreted with caution, due to the overall small sample size (low arrival numbers) and data quality challenges. Only data that met the quality threshold (LGA sample size, standard deviation and confidence interval of collected data) was included in the analysis.

CONTRIBUTING FACTORS

Hazards and Vulnerabilities

Insurgency-driven insecurity is the main driver of the ongoing humanitarian crisis in Northeast Nigeria states of Borno, Adamawa, and Yobe. Conflict, fueled by non-state armed groups continues to drive families from their ancestral homes, significantly uprooting them from their basic livelihoods thus exposing them to severe food and nutrition insecurity vulnerabilities. As a result, population displacement remains high, livelihood assets are severely eroded, major supply chains remain closed or disrupted, and delivery of public services has significantly deteriorated particularly in hard to reach and inaccessible locations. In addition, humanitarian access to some of the affected communities remains elusive. Despite cultivation in some places this year, staple food prices have remained atypically high yet household purchasing power is compromised. Due to the violence and socioeconomic hardship, several households continue to flee their homes to seek safety, support and better services in internally displaced camps and host communities.

Unlike in the previous months (June to August), 36 percent of interviewed HHs reported having witnessed some internally displaced persons (IDPs) returning to their communities of origin during the past 3 or more months, while 64 percent objected to having witnessed any returning IDPs. While these figures significantly vary between LGAs, there still is sufficient evidence that the underlying drivers of displacement are perceived as highly unresolved. Most people (74 percent) reported having experienced conflict and insecurity in the last three months. The conflict shock is closely followed by high food prices as reported by 58 percent of the new arrivals, respectively. Sickness or death of a HH member was reported at (41 percent), and loss of employment was reported at (40 percent) – **Chart 5**.

Another contributing factor to the poor food security and nutrition situation within the inaccessible localities as pointed out by respondents is limited access to agricultural land. In September, 54 percent confirmed having access to farmland, while 46 percent could not have access. Only 49 percent reported having access to farmland between 0.5 to 1 hectare, and 25 percent had less

than 0.5 hectares. Only about 15 percent accessed 1 or more hectares of land. With 46 percent not accessing land, and a quarter of those accessing land only able to access less than 0.5 hectares, cultivation is highly considered limited and inadequate, and so does food production.

Concerning household food stock availability, nearly 1 in three households (33 percent) reported having food stocks left in September while 67 percent had no stock before departure from their localities of origin. The level of stock unavailability is atypical of the post-harvest period. The 67 percent households without food stock is so significant and of concern given that food prices remain higher than average while household purchasing power continues to decline. The majority (51 percent) reported having access to food that will last them less than 3 months, and 39 percent reported having access to food that will last 3 to 6 months.

Note:

In the Northeast, notably in Borno state, the government continues the process of closing IDP camps and resettling IDPs. According to IOM, hundreds of thousands of IDPs have been relocated to various locations across Borno state from the closure of seven IDP camps, Bakassi, NYSC, MOGCOLIS, Teachers Village, Stadium Camp, Filin Ball Camp, and Farm Center. The resettled IDPs mainly reside among the host community in Jere, MMC, Gwoza, Monguno, and Kukawa LGAs. While other previously displaced IDPs relocated to various LGA headquarters to IDP camps as they were unable to resettle in their homesteads due to their unpredictable safety. Those who stay within camps are still accessing assistance, while those living among the host community are not receiving aid. Returnees living among the host community only received a resettlement package to help rebuild their livelihoods.

Many of these returnees are residing where humanitarians can't reach, which renders them more vulnerable to, hunger, starvation and acute malnutrition. These populations are left vulnerable to repeated attacks by Non State actors and armed opposition groups. The result is the vulnerability of returnees continues to worsen than those still in the IDPs camps.




Chart 5: Major shocks Experienced by Households

Food Availability

Among the assessed households, about 67 percent in most of the inaccessible LGAs reported not having a stock of foods from the main season's harvest. It was pronounced in places such as Damboa (99 percent), Guzamala (99 percent), Konduga (89 percent), Magumeri (94 percent), Marte (87 percent), and Bama (85 percent). Others who reported not having stock include Hong, Michika, Kaga, Dikwa, Gubio, Mafa, Mobbar, Monguno, and Geidam (100 percent) who have the highest proportion of households that fall within this category. For about a third of all surveyed households that had food stock left, about (51 percent) indicated that it would have lasted for less than 3 months, thus suggesting a severe food deficit in inaccessible areas, despite the ongoing main season harvest. Overall, land access was relatively high with about 54 percent of households reporting such access (Chart 6). However, of the (54 percent) of households with land access across most of the areas, the amount of land cultivated remains minimal with most households reporting only about 1 hectare or less cultivated. 75 percent of households reported access to about 0.5 to 1 hectare of land being available for cultivation while 25 percent of households only had access to less than 0.5 hectares of farmland and 12 percent had access to 1 to 2 hectares of land (Chart 7). While only 3 percent of households have access to more than 2 hectares of land in these previously agrarian-dominated areas. Despite these challenges highlighted, farming continues to remain the mainstay for food availability in households with arable land access as about 78 percent of such households were engaged in wet season farming during the month that preceded their departure from places of origin.




Chart 6: Stock Availability and Duration (Percentage of Households)

Food Access

Markets were either completely non-functional or functioning at sub-optimal levels in some of the inaccessible areas as confirmed by about 87 percent of the surveyed newly arrived households. Areas with a high preponderance of households reporting non-functionality of the market are Hong (100 percent), Michika (100 percent), Abadam (100 percent), Gubio (100 percent), Mafa (100 percent), Marte (100 percent), Mobbar (100 percent), Monguno (100 percent) and Gulani (100 percent), reported a complete lack of functioning markets or sub-optimal functional markets in their places of origin, others include Damboa (99 percent), Guzamala (99 percent), Magumeri (99 percent), Askira Uba (98 percent), Dikwa (98 percent) and Madagali (94 percent). Although, 63.5 percent of the households from inaccessible areas said they had access to the market in the last three months. However, insecurity (4 percent), and lack of money (4 percent), remained the main impediment to market access.

Households from inaccessible areas acknowledged a significant increase (53 percent) and a small to moderate increase (28 percent), a significant decrease (3 percent), and a small to moderate decrease (6 percent) in the prices of food commodities (Chart 8), which would potentially further weaken the already frail purchasing power of the households in inaccessible areas and consequently, deepen food insecurity vulnerability. This is particularly pertinent to note as market purchases were reported as one of the main sources for staples food (24 percent) of interviewed households and this is high among Michika (100 percent), Kaga (100 percent), Mobbar (100 percent), Gubio (100 percent), and Gulani (100 percent) reported dependence on the market, others include Gujba (87 percent) and Askira Uba (76 percent). Other notable sources for cereals recorded were own harvest (21 percent) which Konduga LGA reported 44 percent, and labour exchange for food (17 percent). Moreover, wild food gathering remains one of the main sources of food for many households in the inaccessible areas (27 percent) of the surveyed households revealed, and begging (5 percent) accounts for cereal sources in almost one in every five households in inaccessible areas, which is quite worrisome given their characteristics as extreme coping measures. The prevalence of gathering was most pronounced in Mafa (67 percent), Bama (52 percent), Guzamala (51 percent), Gwoza, Magumeri, and Ngala (43 percent each). While begging for food is most pronounced in Damboa (20 percent), and Bama (15 percent) LGAs.




Chart 7: Household cultivated farm size




Chart 8: Changes in price

Health and WASH

Water Source and time taken to collect water

In the HSM assessment conducted in October 2023, the major source of water for the majority of households is unprotected well/spring (52%) with Chibok, Askira/Uba, Michika, Hong and Geidam LGAs been the highest with 100% each all followed by Protected Well/Spring (30%) with Kaga, Gubio Mobbar and Gulani LGAs have the highest with 100% each. Hand pump/borehole (13%) is the third major source of water with Abadam (52%), Gujba (40%), Madagali (39%) and Ngala (29%). A source of water is through the public tap or stand is (4%) with Mafa (100%), Monguno (41) Guzamala (23%) and Magumeri (22%) been the highest and lastly surface water (1%) only in Bama (8%) and Gwoza (1%). From the analysis, only 48% use protected sources with Kaga, Abadam, Gubio, Mafa, Mobbar, Gujba and Gulani with 100% each while 52% still use unprotected sources with Askira/Uba, Chibok, Hong, Michika and Geidam with 100% each. Protected water sources are water sources covered or protected by other materials that prevent the entry of physical, chemical and biological contaminants while unprotected sources are those with no barrier or other structure to protect the water from contamination.




Chart 9: Highest use of unprotected water sources by LGAs

Time to collect water

The majority of the respondents (45%) spent less than 30 minutes to collect water with Mafa (100%), Gulani (75%), Bama (70%), Madagali (62%) and Gwoza (60%) were the highest while Abadam (11%), Chibok (14%), Geidam (17%) and Marte (17%). Furthermore, 28% of the respondents spent 30 minutes - 1 hour especially in Michika, Kaga, Gubio and Mobbar with 100% each while Gwoza (5%), Bama (6%), Gujba (20%) and Madagali (20%) with the lowest and 5 LGA without respondents as indicated in Chart 10. Moreover, 15% have water in the vicinity with Konduga 944%), Gwoza (34%), Gujba (27%), Gulani (25%) and Bama (21%) been the highest and only 14% of the respondent spent 1 – 3 hours with Hong (50%), Abadam (41%), Marte (36%), Damboa (34%) and Chibok (32%) been the highest. There is no respondents who spent over 3 hours to collect water in the reporting month.

Fig 2 Above: LGAs with the highest percentage spent 30 minute and above to collect water.




Chart 10: Respondents spending more than 30 mins to Collect Water

Toilet facility

The common type of latrine used among the respondent is the ordinary pit latrine (77%) with 100% each in Hong, Michika, Kaga, Gubio, Konduga, Mobbar, Gujba and Gulani been the highest. This is followed by facility/Bush/open field (18%) with Geidam (100%), Askira Uba (49%), Damboa (34%) and Marte (33%) been the highest. Dig hole and bury (4%) is next with Dikwa (10%), Gwoza (9%), Monguno (9%) and Madagali (6%) as presented in Chart 11. Then VIP latrine and Hanging toilet have 1% each. Lastly, there is no respondent using flush toilet in the reporting month.




Chart 11: Households having no toilet facility/Bush/Open field

Health and Access to health facility

The large majority of respondents (95%) said they do not have access to a health facility and this problem appears to be most serious in Hong, Michika, Bama, Dikwa, Gubio, Guzamala, Konduga, Marte, Mobbar, Monguno and Gulani LGA with 100% each. The health service offered are Outpatient health services (65%), inpatient health services (5%), community outreach health services (43%), Inpatient health services (12%), Nutrition health services (5%) and others (6%). The Nutritional services is offered in only 5 LGAs of Damboa (100%), Magumeri (25%), Kukawa (5%), Geidam (4%) and Abadam (4%). The respondent from the remaining 22 LGAs do not have this service in their place of origin.

Status and distance to the health facility

On the status of the health facility where health facilities exist, fully functional and services are free is 7% and this is in Magumeri (25%) Abadam (22%), Kukawa (5%) and Gwoza (4%), again fully functional but paid is 7% only in Madagali (83%), Ngala (50%) and Gwoza (8%). The partially/sometimes functional -free or paid is 41% with Kaga, Askira/Uba, Chibok, Damboa, Mafa

and Gujba being the highest with 100% each and lastly 44% of respondents reported that there is a clinic building in their area but it lacks both personnel and supplies to operate which is in Geidam (100%), Kukawa (81%) and Gwoza (38%). To reach the health facility, only 7% of respondents travel less than 30 minutes only in Madagali (50%) and Gwoza (21%), 41% responded they travel between 30 minutes to 1 hour with highest in Chibok and Geidam with 100% each. While 40% travel between 1 - 3 hours with Askira Uba, Damboa and Gujba been the highest with 100% each. Then 9% travel Half day (4+ hours) whereas the remaining 2% travel all day (7+hours) from Mafa (100% and Ngala (50%).

Health, illness and services

The majority of the respondents (71%) have or had someone in the household suffer from illness in the reporting month with the highest in Michika (100%), Gubio (100%), Madagali (96%), Gwoza (96%), Askira Uba (95%) and Gulani (88%) LGAs. While 29% did not have a family member who suffer from illness in the last month with highest in Monguno (100%), Kaga (100%), Mobbar (100%), Guzamala (79%) and Magumeri (74%) LGAs. Fever (83%) and cough/flu (49%) remain the most reported illnesses by respondents while non bloody diarrhea (2%) remain the least.

(Chart 12).





Chart 12: Categories of people who suffered illness last month

Key Risk Factors to Monitor

Potential famine risk areas – Madagali, Askira-Uba, Bama, Chibok, Dikwa, Mobbar and Damboa – should be monitored closely on a continuous basis considering elevated levels of food consumption gaps, malnutrition and extensive/unsustainable usage of emergency coping strategies, largely underscored by limited availability of food stocks, restricted access to functional markets and health services;

- Rising health risk within a highly food insecure, vulnerable, and inaccessible population;
- High morbidity rates and illnesses affecting all age strata including the productive household members. The impact of morbidity on the household expenditure, food consumption and productivity require in-depth exploration and close monitoring;
- Majority of the households have no access to or have difficulty accessing health facility. Hence, the need to devise alternative options for managing illnesses within the communities (i.e. ‘coping strategies’ for limited formal health services);

- The poor access to clean water and dignified sanitation, coupled with low hygiene awareness may likely result in increased AWD diseases, impacting under 5 children, thereby aggravating malnutrition and other negative outcomes of food and nutrition insecurity; and
- The combined effect of the factors highlighted above, would raise the morbidity level and, likely impact households' ability to engage in labor-for-food or resource gathering— thereby deepening the vulnerability of the already fragile households.



Map 2: Vulnerability risk level April, 2023 to Sept, 2023

Limitations of the HSM

- Progressive reduction in sample size arising from limited number of new arrivals from the inaccessible localities;
- Data quality issues, especially relating to nutrition and mortality;
- Some inaccessible /Hard-to-reach localities are yet to be covered due to lack of partners' operations in such areas.

Note:

Vulnerability risk level defined based on convergence of: a) severity of food security and nutrition outcomes plus contributing factors; and b) sample size. Mortality was not considered in the convergence due to LGA level low sample sizes and quality issues. For areas adjudged "Moderate Risk", sample size was relatively small in most of them, and so, the reason for the classification. This, however, does not completely eschew the possibility of higher levels of famine risk in such areas. Thus, these results should be interpreted and utilized with some caution.

For further inquiries, please contact

Dr. Lawal SANI DAURA

National Coordinator, National
Programme for Food Security

Daura4215@gmail.com

Leslie Parker ODONGKARA

Food Security Sector Coordinator -
Nigeria

Leslie.odongkara@fao.org

John Mukisa (Ph.D)

Nutrition Sector Coordinator
Nigeria

jmukisa@unicef.org

About the Humanitarian Situation Update for (HSU) for Inaccessible Areas

The Humanitarian Situation Monitoring (HSM) system is an approach put in place by the Food Security Sector and Nutrition Sector (both having their operational bases in the North East) under the leadership of the Nigerian Government, for tracking the trend of acute food and nutrition security situation in such areas that had been analyzed to be in the emergency (phase 4) so as to be able to develop and issue alerts in case famine emerges. The HSM uses a methodology that combines both food and nutrition security monitoring strategies to assess the situation and then raise necessary alert, as the case may be. The HSM is basically conceptualized to support the Cadre Harmonisé analysis of the inaccessible areas in the BAY States.

The general objective of the HSM is to provide comprehensive information about the food security and nutritional situation of the population in inaccessible areas of Northeast BAY States. The HSM also informs the Cadre Harmonisé analyses and classification in different phases of food security and malnutrition of the inaccessible areas. The specific objectives of the HSM entails data collection through monthly monitoring in support of better classification of inaccessible areas between rounds of CH analysis with focus on:

- understanding the risk of a population to face severe, acute catastrophic or famine- like conditions;
- understanding the degree of livelihood change, including capacity to engage in traditional and emergency livelihoods, etc.;
- understanding food consumption outcomes through the use of proxy information on Household Hunger Scale (HHS) and Food Consumption Score (FCS);
- understanding availability of health and nutrition services, including household and individual access to services by collecting information on functionality of nutrition/health services;
- understanding how households cope (including the severity of coping measures) during periods of hunger, thirst, morbidity or malnutrition in such areas of interest;
- understanding the malnutrition situation in such areas of interest through the collection of information on GAM prevalence (for children 6-59 months) in reception centres and other new arrival terminals; and
- understanding changes in crude and U5 mortality rates and indicative causes in such areas of interest.

Primary data was jointly collected by partners in many accessible towns of Borno, Adamawa and Yobe States where there are new arrivals coming from the inaccessible areas with the support of the DTM from SEMA and IOM. Well-structured questionnaire was employed by trained enumerators in collecting the information in the form of key informant interview and focused group discussions (FGD). The data collection focused more on six elements- causal factors of emergency needs, food consumption outcomes, livelihood change and coping strategies, access to life-saving services and assistance, detection of malnutrition through nutrition screenings (WHZ and MUAC), and mortality indicators as recommended by the CH analysis framework.

Consideration was also given to journey duration and patterns for the new arrivals interviewed. A combination of purposive and convenient sampling techniques was employed in selecting the recent new arrivals (within the last 30 days) who were the primary target. Total number of respondents covered for this reporting period of June was **2,281** households (from 29 LGAs) who were interviewed at the reception points. The period of data collection for this edition of the bulletin lasted from 1st May 2023 to 31st October, 2023.

	 <p>World Food Programme</p>	 <p>Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations</p>	 <p>NORWEGIAN REFUGEE COUNCIL</p>	
				
	 <p>Informing more effective humanitarian action</p>	 <p>for every child</p>	 <p>Famine Early Warning Systems Network</p>	