Since new members recently joined the SAG, it was proposed to review the roles and responsibilities of SAG against the current ToR (https://fscluster.org/document/sag-terms-reference). It was agreed to review it in the spirit of identifying those areas of work on which SAG members can play a key role for the implementation of the gFSC Plan and have an added value. This review includes the:

1. Clarification of the “blurry” areas of work and agree upon relevant contents
2. Revision and follow up of those areas that were well followed up in the previous years (e.g. WG, CCPM, Strategic Plan revision, Partnership)
3. Identification of NEW area of interest for 2021

The set of roles & responsibilities currently part of the ToR are not to be intended as a “logical framework” or activities, but should be read as “areas of work” in line with the 2020-2022 Strategic Plan (https://fscluster.org/document/food-security-cluster-strategic-plan).

Discussion points on some areas of work:

1. Identification and review of the strategic priorities for 2021: need to look into different options, in particular when talking about new policies; e.g. localisation, HDPN (reinforce the FS analytical part related to protection & conflict, etc). Example from the previous years to be presented next meeting to drive discussions, as well as possible options for 2021
2. gFSC to provide example of FSC team/field support to better identify possible actions for 2021
3. Mid-term review and related plan to be developed in view of the gFSC partners meeting next May - discussion to follow up
4. Inclusive participation: this is an area that can be included under Strategic Priorities. In addition more efforts on gFSC key documents’ translation, as well as gFSC partners’ meetings simultaneous translation, and maybe guidance for the field on how to increase partnership at local level
5. FSC Monitoring performance tool: the currently used tool is the CCPM (OCHA), however SAG to advise on the development of new tools if necessary
6. Identification of lessons learned/good practices from the field that can be replicated in other countries. (field needs support)
7. Working Group follow up is an area that has been well covered in 2020 and should continue to be followed up by SAG in 2021
8. Position papers: the gFSC SAG to keep a “neutral” role: very difficult to represent all partners (ad hoc discussions can be considered upon field’s request of particular position papers)

Next meeting:

1st of Feb 2021

Global network/SAF collaboration

TSU workplan was not shared yet since it is still being updated. However, any information that will support discussions at SAG level can be very useful; a reminder can be sent to TSU if any additional info can be shared

Davide to send an email on behalf of the SAG (Thomas to provide the draft)

Meeting 1hr 30” - however can take less; suggestion to shorten the Agenda and focus on ONE main item only (to ensure proper discussions) + quick update on other agenda points (however GN to remain a fixed agenda point)