

<b>Participants</b>	<b>Joanne Grace (Save the Children); Ilaria Dettori (WFP); Naouar Labidi (gFSC), Abdul Majid (gFSC); Julie Mayans (SI); Regine Koppol Davide Rossi (gFSC); Alistair Short (FSC CC-SSD)</b>
<b>Absent</b>	<b>Alberto Bigi (FAO); Peter Muhangi (PI);</b>

<b>Agenda points</b>	<b>Key issues/Action points</b>	<b>Follow up</b>
<b>De-brief on the special meeting on Ukraine crisis impact</b>	In general meeting reached its objective": attendance was good (around 150 people) with key actors and high-level staff attendance including donors; presentations were clear from the 3 pannelists even though some duplication of information was noted. There was a lack of indication from partners on ways forwards/action to be taken as a follow up from the meeting. The SAG has received a couple of emails from partners but during the meeting there was no real feedback or appetite for clear action (e.g. dedicated WG, etc.). however action was taken from gFSC: dedicated page was created, now with all info on Ukraine impact docs, and also a compiled document with FSC teams current impact. It was mentioned also that some key monitoring/discussion can be taken through the existing WG (e.g. Agriculture WG will organise a special meeting on agriculture inputs impact).	No immediate action - but gFSC and SAG partners will monitor the impact through Cluster and NGO partners in major food crisis, and in case of need a 2nd meeting could potentially be organised or ad hoc WG opened
<b>Inter-sectoral collaboration</b>	Collaboration with WASH, Nutrition, Health is ongoing and the gFSC Coordinators have met to their peers in the other clusters on the best way to advance the collaboration. Discussion are ongoing for an intersectoral document top be launched but it require a final agreement on some steps of the process (country selection, key messages, desk research, capacity building initiatives). Unfortunately in the field the ISC collaboration is still not up to speed due to differen reasons, key joint aspects regard the joint assessments and advocacy, but not much on joint planning and this needs to be improved. Some countries meet challenges because the Clusters are at different speed or capacity and so a joint planning often is not duable or remains marginal;l anothe key aspect is the difference of funding among the different clusters: for implementing a joint plan/activities all clusters need to be funded in a sufficient way to take forward the plans to the ground, but this in the past has been a big obstacle	gFSC coordinators will keep the SAG update on intersectoral collaboration and relevant documents that require inputs
<b>FSC initiative on prioritization plans for major FS crisis</b>	Under the guidance of DoEs the gFSC has initiated discussion on prioritization plans in major food crisis. 10 countries were selected according to the funding/IPC population in phase 4/5. gFSC is compiling the prioritization plan in order to have a document that will highlight the needs and financial requirements (some major crisis are very low funded with population in IPC5). The idea is then to organise an event for global partners to "launch" the plan to the international community. for the moment the plan will focus on FS only, but discussions with other clusters are ongoing especially with Nutrition, WASH, Health. (see above). It was highlighted that this new "prioritization plan" will be within the HRP framework and NGOs are invited to reach their field staff to ensure that they are involved into this exercise in the field.	gFSC coordinators will keep the SAG update on the planning and the event
<b>AoB</b>	New membership applications were received and will be shared for final endorsement at the next SAG meeting SAG ToR was finalized and published Application form was reviewed and finalized	
<b>Next meeting</b>	Monday June 13 (Monday 6 is public holiday for some countries)	