

Participants	Naouar Labidi (gFSC), Alberto Bigi (FAO); Ilaria Dettori (WFP), Joanne Grace, (SCI) Thomas Ølholm (NRC), Anne-Lise Lavaur (SI); Paul Kinuthia (WVI); Davide Rossi (gFSC)
Absent	Fabien Tallec (FSC)
Agenda points	Key issues/Action points
<b>Introduction &amp; welcome</b>	-Naouar Labidi will chair the SAG, as the interim gFSC Coordinator
<b>Global Partners Meeting (GPM)</b>	<p><b>Dates: 25-27 &amp; 27 May</b></p> <p><b>DAY 1: dedicated to Famine/FS Crisis</b> - Session ONE: panel of experts that discuss about Famine from different angles (e.g. conflict, C19, weather, coordination, local communities/local actors engagement, IPC phases "real meaning", etc); Session TWO: "voices from the field: to select few countries (including "forgotten" crisis) or regional approach (NGO to lead + CC can facilitate this session); SESSION THREE (Optional) could be dedicated to coordination issues, including inter-cluster, FSC role on current crisis, etc)</p> <p><b>DAY 2 : dedicated to MTR:</b> SAG to introduce current strategic plan + breakout rooms divided by the 4 results: discussions to be reported in plenary for the suggested area of improvement, action to be taken by gFSC &amp; FSCs in the field up to 2022</p> <p><b>DAY 3 - SIDE EVENT:</b> dedicated to WGs: general updates + discussions on the impact on current crisis on the technical support and how to better engage with the field: WGs chairs to play a key role in leading discussions.</p> <p>SAG members to support gFSC to better define the sessions/panelists/breakout room discussions.</p> <p>To better define the agenda contents it was discussed the opportunity to involve directly gFSC members (Survey). In previous years this was not done and gFSC &amp; SAG normally built up the agenda: members willing to present/include some agenda point used to write to SAG or gFSC (e.g. Caritas/Localisation included at the last GPM); for the upcoming meeting a Survey with gFSC members could be done, but it is important to look at the timeline, process can be long - in that case the survey should be very light and with clear indications</p> <p>Translations: for simultaneous interpretation during the meeting, gFSC team is assessing the feasibility, since there are technical and financial challenges.</p>
<b>MTR</b>	<p>MTR - has been decided to go through a "lighter" process/ final document, compared to 2018.</p> <p>MTR will be discussed during the GPM: there is a preparation work to be done before the GPM meeting: e.g strategic plan presentation in plenary and results up to date + breakout rooms discussions organisation (area of discussion) + inputs to be received (tools)</p>
<b>Famine group</b>	A first meeting was held; WVI sent very good inputs, including FSC involvement. There is a general agreement that what is missing in the current discussions is the "human face" of the crisis, and field perspective: FSC can play a key role on this. Agreed to have a Statement from gFSC (draft being finalised); the group also to discuss on additional actions to be taken in the coming weeks to keep the attention high. important to have NGOs/gFSC partners active involvement (at global and field level)
<b>Inter cluster Nutrition WG</b>	<p>There is a general consensus among SAG members, that the ICNWG should remain a platform where common areas are discussed between Food Sec and Nutrition actors and the platform should be and remain technical (this is not a forum for "political" discussions, but there is a concern due to the last joint meeting between the group and the SAGs); 4Cs Approach: the 4Cs are key for Nutrition since FS, Wash, Health, Nutr are the main drivers of Malnutrition, but additional clarification are needed from FSC perspective, and its engagement at inter-cluster level.</p>
<b>GNAFC TSU UPDATE</b>	<p>GNAFC TSU presented to the SAG work plan and the road map to the Food Systems summit.</p> <p>What engagement for gFSC/SAG and for international NGOs with GNAFC? was mentioned that some international organization are eager to collaborate with TSU but still not clear the angle of engagement.</p> <p>Areas of collaboration and opportunities should be looked at global and local level; For example at local level more collaboration in strengthening datasets, analysing data gaps and, in general, on FS analysis; additional collaboration can pass through country clusters (e.g. Yemen FSC supported the GNAFC FS analysis); Global level: contribution can include "research" (TSU mentioned research ongoing studies such as the one on pastoralism), advocacy, and partnership/visibility.</p> <p>On next steps: to better understand the concrete angle of collaboration (field perspective) interested SAG members could join the meeting that has been organised by TSU and gFSC on Chad experience</p>
<b>NEXT MEETING</b>	<b>Monday 19 th of April - 15:00 - 16:00</b>