Food Assistance
Distributions Per Capita
Per Capita Approach at CRS

• Why we chose this approach?
• Positives
• Challenges
• Relevant Experiences
CRS Food Assistance E-voucher Programming

• Borno (8,586 HHs / 49,301 Individuals)
  - Gubio, Kaga, and Magumeri LGAs
  - Average HH size 5.7 Individuals

• Yobe (5,422 HHs / 37,154 Individuals)
  - Gujba and Gulani LGAs
  - Average HH size 6.8 Individuals

• Total Caseload 14,008 HHs / 86,455 Individuals

• 3,464.45 NGN per Individual
  - 70% Food / 30% Nutrient Rich Food
Why per Capita?

• Positives
  – Addressing the needs of individual families (greater average HH size)
  – Greater acceptance/understanding by the communities
  – The challenges impact the organization greater than those benefiting from the program
  – Aligns with CRS global programming
Challenges

• Greater administrative burden
  – RedRose: Group vs. Individual Distributions
  – Caseload List Maintenance (high levels of monitoring/dynamic caseload)

• MEAL/Data Implications
  – Self reported HH size and disaggregation (limited biometrics)

• Historic caseload - Not harmonized
  – Impact on caseload/targets (and budget) when updating records
  – Sensitization for updating records

• Relevant Experiences:
  – Retargeting for 2019 (reduced by ~35%)
  – 31 Individuals