



Minutes Minutes (July 2021)

Location: Microsoft Teams

Date: 06.07.2021 (Tuesday)

Time: 3:30PM - 5:00PM (Yangon Time)

Meeting Recording: [Link](#)

PARTICIPANTS

ACF, DFAT, FCA, SI, PIN, HEKS/EPER, WVM, Mercy Corps, SCI, UNOCHA, UNFPA, FAO and UNWFP.

AGENDA

1. Introduction
2. Presentation
 - cross-cutting issues survey by Michelle Sanson (WFP), 15mn
 - FSS satisfaction survey by Laurent Gimenez (WFP), 15mn
 - GiHA profile results by Kiarra (UNFPA), 20 mn
3. FSS key achievements on the field since last FSS coordination meeting
4. MHF (general feedback for FSS)
5. HRP Addendum (general feedback for FSS)
6. AOB

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSIONS

DISCUSSIONS	ACTION POINTS
<p>1. Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The workshop commenced at 3:30 PM with welcome speeches by WFP FSS Coordinator • New participants introduced. 	
<p>2. Presentation</p> <p>i. 15 mins of first presentation that focused on cross-cutting issues was delivered by Michelle Sanson (Senior Protection and Gender Advisor) from WFP. Followed Q & A. Detail presentation can be seen in this link Cross Cutting Issue Survey PPT.</p> <p>Q: Regard the responding partners, who are they (Local or International) and where?</p> <p>A: Most of them are INGO, Local NGO, and UN Agencies. We didn't do the separate survey at the sub-national level. We just asked to main members at national level. So, we didn't really differentiate where they were.</p>	

<p>Q: What is the way of collecting the disability information? A: Washington Group Short Set is a method by we sure to get the quality data who has disability or Who doesn't.</p> <p>ii. In term of 2nd Presentation, Laurent Gimenez (FSS Coordinator) from WFP gave the Food Security Satisfaction Survey Presentation. Detail presentation can be seen in this link Satisfaction Survey PPT .</p> <p>iii. 20 Mins of last presentation about Gender in Humanitarian Action was delivered by Kiarra (International Humanitarian/CRSV Consultant) from UNFPA. Presentation focused on gender profile in humanitarian action including key findings, existing needs, gap, good practice, and recommendations for food security sector. Detail presentation can be checked in this link GiHA Profile Result PPT.</p>	
<p>3. FSS key achievements on the field since last FSS coordination meeting</p> <p>SOLIDARITÉS INTERNATIONAL (SI)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SI supported cash for work activity in Rakhine State and also agriculture support in Kachin State <p>DRC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cash grant distributed the money to establish the micro business for beneficiaries in Bhamo and Myitkyina Townships. <p>HEKS/EPER</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Started pilot in April for 200 HHs in Hlaingtharya and delivered the food packages and 15\$ per HH. Reached 500 vulnerable HHs in Hlaingtharya, Shwepyitha and Dagon Seikkan Townships in Yangon Region during June and July 2021 <p>ACF</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Since last meeting, ACF requested to one of donor to continue some training and also income generation activity grant to 300 HHs in Sittwe. Unfortunately, ACF faced TA issues. <p>FAO</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In Rakhine, FAO has been promoting the small-scale inland aquaculture by rehabilitation of 100 fishponds and new fishpond construction as well. And conducted cash for work activity for 900 vulnerable HHs in Buthidaung and Maungdaw Townships. In these two townships, FAO also proceeded the provision of Covid19 protective items to 700 HHs. 	
<p>4. MHF (general feedback for FSS)</p> <p>Laurent Gimenez (FSS Coordinator) explained the MHF process as follow:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Among 48 projects in MHF, 19 Projects were reviewed for Food Security Cluster (for reference, MHF 2020 SA2, FSS had 9 submitted projects). • Review Panels included the FCA, Relief international, FSS coordinator. • High level of competition due to the number of proposal submitted, especially for some areas • Final decision to recommend MHF's Advisory Board to fund a project depend on the complete analysis throughout all sectors. 	
<p>5. HRP Addendum (general feedback for FSS)</p> <p>8 projects were submitted for FSS.</p>	

For projects related to agriculture/livelihood, additional information was requested to confirm that these projects are in line with the response to the recent crisis (February 2021) and that the proposed action are in the frame of emergency response.

All submitted project were recommended to donors (= integrated in the HRP Addendum project list). Afterward, it is a one-to-one conversation with donors. If donors are interested by FSS projected listed in the HRP 2021 Addendum, they will either contact the FSS partners directly or pas through the FSS.

From a FSS perspective, all proposed projects were matching criteria and were qualitative. None of them was discommended.

The Addendum and associated project catalogue provide a framework for a total of **23 projects** targeting around **2 million people** with assistance in locations outside the geographic scope of the initial 2021 HRP. The Addendum identifies **\$109 million** in emergency humanitarian programming to be implemented up until the end of 2021. **This is to add on top of the HRP 2021 which is \$276M for 944K people.**

HRP Addendum will not be published as usual but a public executive summary to be circulated And published on Reliefweb.

Project catalogue:

Projects won't be published but donors can reach out directly organizations or pass through the FSS or through OCHA. A donors briefing is being set by OCHA were HRP Addendum will be explain more in detail to them.

6. AOB

- Discussed nothing