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Twenty two (22) FSC partners have continued their multiple responses.

Food assistance, cash combined with livelihood support (‘Cash+’) and unconditional transfers have ensured immediate access to food of beneficiaries.

The seasonal input and livestock assets protection support also complemented access to food support.
### FSC RESPONSE LEVEL IN ALL REGIONS (ACTUALS –VS- TARGET)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Improved Access to Food and Safety Nets (IASN)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Monthly Target</th>
<th>February</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2,781,604</td>
<td>1,924,192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Achievement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>69%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Livelihood Assets</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Monthly Target</th>
<th>February</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1,354,324</td>
<td>73,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Achievement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>5%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Livelihood Seasonal Inputs</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Agric. 914,918</th>
<th>Livestock 1,964,339</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Achievement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Total beneficiaries assisted 1,924,192 people against a monthly target of 2,781,604. This is 69% of the target.

Overall reduced by about 150,000 beneficiaries (7%) as compared to last month.

Major reductions observed in Woqooyi Galbeed, Lower Shabelle and Awdal regions where the acute food insecurity was sustained or deteriorated.

The level of response in these regions has not only reduced as compared to last month but is significantly lower than the monthly target ranging between 18-30%.
**IASN response**

![Graph showing distribution of cash/vouchers transferred over months from August to February.](image)

- **August**: 3,262 Thousands
- **September**: 3,219 Thousands
- **October**: 3,111 Thousands
- **November**: 2,669 Thousands
- **December**: 2,551 Thousands
- **January**: 2,074 Thousands
- **February**: 1,924 Thousands

**Cash/Vouchers Transferred**: 16,238,467

- **In-Kind**: 31%
- **Cash / Voucher**: 69%
LIIN response

- Approximately 87,696 beneficiaries received assistance based on this objective.

- This 3% of the seasonal target i.e. 2,879,257 targeted for the season.

- In February partners focused primarily on agricultural input (seed distribution and irrigation support).

- Since this is the beginning of the response cycle for seasonal input and livestock assets protection assistance for the GU we expect a gradual increase as the season progresses.

- FAO have contributed the highest share of the beneficiaries assisted in this month (75%) followed RI (25%). Qatar Charity has also assisted some of the beneficiaries in restocking and providing tailoring kits.
LIIN response - Map
LIAS response

- Total beneficiaries assisted 73,049 i.e. 5% of the monthly target

- Major activities include cash for work, food for asset and training of farmers on good agricultural practice.

- A partner is also engaging restocking and providing tailoring kits

- 13 FSC partners in total.
LIAS response
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The down-ward spiral of IASN response over the last four months particularly in Woqooyi Galbeed, lower Shabelle and Awdal regions where acute food insecurity has either sustained or deteriorated. The response in these regions has not only reduced as compared to last month but significantly lower than the target of the month (less than 18-30% of the target).

Insecurity, especially in part of lower Shebelle, Bay, Bakool, middle Juba, Banadir regions have hindered humanitarian access to the most vulnerable populations. As a result of prolonged periods of poor access to certain districts in these regions, vulnerable households failed to get much needed assistance.
Partners expressed concern for capacity building