



SOMALIA
FOOD SECURITY
CLUSTER

FSC PARTNERS MEETING

27th June 2023



FSC PARTNERS MEETING AGENDA



1. Opening Remarks (MoAI, SoDMA and MoLFR)
2. May Response and July - September (Quarter 3) Planning
3. State-level operational planning updates - SWS,
Hirshabelle, Galmudug, Juba land
4. Capacity building training updates
5. Accountability to Affected Populations (AAP) Work plan
6. AOB




SOMALIA
FOOD SECURITY
CLUSTER

MAY 2023

RESPONSE UPDATES

Food Security Situation




FSC Response Coverage




Funding Status



People Targeted, Reached, and Modality




Partners Contribution by Type



The number of Partners by Type




Legend




Data Source: FS cluster 5Ws Data, IPC population Data.
 Creation Date: 20th June 2023

The boundaries and names shown and the designations used on this map do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by the United Nations. Sources: OCHA, PRMN, FSNMU, clusters.


Legend



Historical Trends of PIN by IPC 3, 4 and 5



Reached per month



PEOPLE Targeted & People Reached (Monthly)

6.68M



People Targeted

3.58M



People Reached

Percentage Response

54%

People reached against the target

Response by Modality

52%



Cash

30%



Voucher

18%



In-Kind


Cash/Vouchers Transferred (Monthly)



41M \$

Reached against Target Per Month

● Sum of Reached ● Sum of Target




Partner Reporting (Monthly)


110




of Partners



Improved Access To Food: % Of People Reached vs Target (Monthly)






% Target, Reach, %Reach & %Modality per Region

	Target	Reach	% Reach	% CVA	% In-kind
Awdal	14K	10K	78%	88%	12%
Bakool	276K	173K	63%	89%	11%
Banadir	774K	420K	54%	99%	1%
Bari	378K	217K	58%	91%	9%
Bay	925K	361K	39%	100%	0%
Galgadud	381K	220K	58%	62%	38%
Gedo	256K	255K	72%	90%	10%
Hiraan	192K	167K	87%	94%	6%
Lower Juba	384K	147K	38%	95%	5%
Lower Shabelle	423K	79K	19%	98%	2%
Middle Shabelle	379K	151K	40%	52%	48%
Mudug	641K	329K	51%	66%	34%
Nugaal	328K	143K	44%	90%	10%
Sanaag	207K	167K	81%	66%	34%
Sool	190K	167K	88%	75%	25%
Togdheer	266K	241K	91%	78%	22%
Woqooyi Galbeed	305K	232K	76%	49%	51%
Middle Juba	55K	0	0%	0%	0%


Target per District




% Reach per District



Active Partners per District



Reached per Month



PEOPLE TARGETED & People Reached (Cumulative)

2.84M



People Targeted

688.64K



People Reached

Percentage Of People Reached against the target

24%

People Reached against the

Response by Modality (Cumulative)

2%



Cash

19%



Voucher

30%



In-Kind

49%



Training

Cash/Vouchers Transferred (Cumulative)




545K \$

Reached against Target (Cumulative)

● Reached ● Target

Jan-May




Partner Reporting (Cumulative)


47




of Partners




Protecting Livelihoods: % Of People Reached vs Target (Cumulative)




Target, Reach, Partners



% Response per Modality



% Response per Operational Priority




Target, % Reach, % Modality Per Region

	Target	Reach	% Reach	% CVA	% In-Kind	% Training
Awdal	128K	14K	11%	0%	8%	92%
Bakool	109K	21K	20%	14%	86%	0%
Banadir	86	0.6K	1%	100%	0%	0%
Bari	100K	26K	27%	0%	18%	82%
Bay	330K	78K	24%	36%	64%	0%
Galgaduud	170K	87K	52%	0%	5%	95%
Gedo	213K	62K	29%	32%	53%	15%
Hiraan	137K	121K	89%	46%	38%	16%
Lower Juba	248K	18K	8%	13%	85%	2%
Lower Shabelle	373K	7K	2%	100%	0%	0%
Middle Shabelle	287K	16K	6%	100%	0%	0%
Mudug	215K	90K	42%	7%	7%	86%
Nugaal	152K	14K	10%	27%	0%	73%
Sanaag	58K	25K	44%	0%	0%	100%
Togdheer	29K	37K	>100%	3%	14%	83%
Woqooyi Galbeed	127K	48K	39%	6%	22%	72%
Sool	74K	15K	21%	0%	25%	75%
Middle Juba	6K	0	0%	0%	0%	0%





Target per District




% Reach per District




Active Partners per District



Cumulative Reached per Month




Reached per Month



Highlights on Obj2 Response

- Cumulatively Jan- May, 55 districts had a response, with only 14 of them above 50% reach against the target.
- Districts with above 100% of the target
Burco, Buuhodle, Cadaado, Caynabo, Ceelbuur, Jalalaqsi, Xarardheere.
- The following had no response due to access challenges
 - Tayeglow
 - Sablale
 - Buale
 - Jilib
 - Sakow





SOMALIA
FOOD SECURITY
CLUSTER

**STATE-LEVEL OPERATIONAL PLANNING
UPDATES**



SOMALIA
FOOD SECURITY
CLUSTER

SOUTHWEST STATE
UPDATES



FSC Partners in SWS

- 35 partners to the FSC for OBJ 1 and 15 for OBJ2
- 14 partners have submitted their plans for quarter 2
- Field coordination is done by the FSL to provide the partners with a list of other partners who are planning to work in the same locations to avoid duplication.

Coordination with other clusters

- Biweekly meetings between FSC and CCCM to achieve suitable coordination in OPZ.
- Any filed mission to IDPs for verification or registration must be joint with FSC partners who have already intervened or at least have already done the registration,
- FSC coordinated with Nutrition Cluster and agreed to have joint meetings after determining the FSC partners who support the referrals and the nutrition cluster partners who nominate the referrals
- FSC will nominate in each OPZ or group of OPZ one partner to be the Nutrition focal point




Key Challenges

- Some partners have plans but did not share them with the cluster, so that will lead to duplication or overreached,
- Some partners would like to implement in a certain district or OPZ even though it is overreached,
- The security situation hinders partners to work in some rural areas,
- More than one partner is working in the same OPZ although one or two of them can cover the gap,
- Some partners have not confirmed their funds, and they will come back in the middle of the Quarter when the plans have already been set so, more duplication
- Livestock disease cases - the exact type of disease not identified due to lack of laboratory tests.



Key Challenges Cont....

- Fighting between ATMIS and AS in Bulamarer resulted in displacement of people, disruption of business, etc.
- According to UNHCR Protection Return and Monitoring Network (PRMN) over 21,000 people were displaced to Barawe, Marka, Qoryoley, and Afgooye districts of Lower Shabelle.
- Six NGOs suspended humanitarian services mainly Health, nutrition, and WASH programmes
-





SOMALIA
FOOD SECURITY
CLUSTER


JUBALAND STATE UPDATES



1. Key operational updates

- ❑ OPZ finalized and shared with the partners
- ❑ Nutrition cluster targeting 779 HH with SAM/MAM admitted in Trocaire referral centers (Belethawo and Luuq districts).
- ❑ Belethawa - WFP/WV registered 400HHs for Nutrition referrals from May 23 to June 23, with MEB of \$80
- ❑ Luuq - WFP/WV registered 292 HHs for Nutrition referrals from May 23 to June 23, with MEB of \$80
In Dolow district - prioritizing new arrivals and hard-to-reach areas.
- ❑ NRC - received 954 SAM referrals from Trocaire Dolow, and providing UCT
- ❑ Bardere, LifeLine Gedo (LLG) referred 764 SAM to WFP in June, WFP to start providing assistance in July
- ❑ Gap in Elwak, as 723 SAM/MAM are registered but not referred yet

Key Operational Zones In Jubaland





2. Key challenges

- Low attendance of cluster partners at state/regional level monthly meetings
- Duplication of names in settlements and IDP sites in Gedo region and
- Some settlements are not in the master list.
- Inaccurate figures of newly displaced IDPs
- Some partners not sharing their plan data.
- Lack of understanding/unfamiliarity with OPZ by some partners
- Some partners not following the targeting criteria to reach the right beneficiaries.
- Funding constraints hence the huge drop in the number of beneficiaries supported by WFP
- Hired enumerators engaged in beneficiary mobilization/sensitization and registration instead of FSL focal persons from the partner organization, thus sometimes can lead to fund diversion and lack of accountability.
- Increase in SAM/MAM incidences in IDPs across Juba land



3. Key support required and Recommendations

- Train the partners on OPZ and enforce geo-coordination.
- Commitment from the partners to use OPZ coordination for planning and reporting
- Need to have a full commitment from the partners to use OPZ coordination for planning and reporting
- Monitor partners' responses at the field level.
- Have coherent/consistent data on the estimated population at the districts level
- Need to get buy-in from all stakeholders particularly the state government for effective coordination
- Advocacy for female representation at monthly meetings



SOMALIA
FOOD SECURITY
CLUSTER

GALMUDUUD STATE UPDATES



Emergency in Ceeldher District

- Humanitarian Situation
 - 30 May - conflict broke in Masagawaay Village, Ceeldher District.
 - Around 600 HH /3,600 people displaced.
 - 5,500 HH/33,000 people displaced since April
- Security.
 - Ceeldheer Town: Security is still fragile; but people are coming back.
 - Galcad: MoHADM, district authorities and local NGOs consultations; security situation still unclear and restricted for humanitarian access.
- Government of Galmudug Response Actions
 - Humanitarian Appeal (2 June 2023)
 - MoHADM, district authorities and partners to identify safe areas
- Partners response
 - Multisectoral assessment
 - WFP and UNICEF are providing assistance in Ceeldheer areas.



Nutrition-Relief Referrals

- Referral Period
 - SAM & MAM: January to May 2023
 - PLW: March to May 2023
- SAM Gap
 - 170 De-duplication
 - 3,957 Unable to absorb
- MAM Gap
 - 127 De-Duplication
 - 3,510 Unable to absorb
- Challenges
 - Lack of Relief Partners
 - Funding constraints
 - Lack of feedback

	TOTALS			
	Referred	Assisted	Gap	%
SAM	6,690	2,563	4,127	62%
MAM	13,423	7,514	5,909	44%
PLW	781	781	-	100%
Totals	20,894	10,858	10,036	48%



Key Challenges

- Partners Engagement
 - Poor attendance and lack of active participation in cluster meetings
 - Information sharing
 - Lack of coordination with FSC and government
- Humanitarian Access
 - Security situation remains unclear in newly liberated areas Ceeldheer District and Galcad
- Funding

Support needed

- Clarity on OCHA's coordination role
 - Multisectoral assessment
- Operational Planning by OPZ: Consider training for all partners -with or without secure funding.



SOMALIA
FOOD SECURITY
CLUSTER

HIRSHABELLE STATE
UPDATES



Flood Response

- ❑ About 250,000 people were estimated to have been displaced following the floods in Beletweyne.
- ❑ 07 partners were able to mobilize emergency assistance for about 220,000 people representing 88% of the affected population out of which 55% are one-off assistance.
- ❑ Beletweyne river level is still at moderate risk though updates from FAO-SWALIM indicates significantly reduced rains in Ethiopian highlands therefore less chances of another flood in Beletweyne.
- ❑ About 14,000 people were also affected by the floods in Bulo Burte; WFP, AAH, SCI and FAO are already providing assistance.
- ❑ A joint post-flood assessment is being planned in the coming week.



OPZ Coordination


- ❑ Q2 Plans (with confirmed funding) were received from WFP, FAO, Mercy Corps, WARDI and SYPD.

Challenges

- ❑ Partner plans not completely matched to OPZ.
- ❑ Partners are not always willing to move locations.
- ❑ Not all partners are sharing their response plan.

Lessons Learnt

- ❑ Geographic gaps are now easily identified.
- ❑ Partners' readiness to coordinate at OPZ level to avoid same household targeting.
- ❑ Only about 50% of Hirshabelle State will receive humanitarian assistance in Q2.





Key Challenges

- ❑ **Humanitarian Access** - Parts of Bulo Burte, Mahaday and others remain difficult to access with very limited partner presence.
- ❑ **Deteriorating Livelihood Conditions** - Many communities are reported to have lost their farmlands, livestock and livelihoods after the floods leaving many to adopting negative coping techniques.
- ❑ **Limited Funding** - NGOs and partners are no longer able to providing life-saving assistance due to shortage of resources and in some cases beneficiary caseloads are cut.

Support needed

- ❑ Need for dedicated funding platforms to effectively respond to emerging disasters like floods and animal disease outbreak.
- ❑ Advocate for fully dedicated field staff and increased technical expertise on the ground.



SOMALIA
FOOD SECURITY
CLUSTER

CAPACITY BUILDING TRAINING
UPDATES



Trainings conducted - Q2

			NO. PARTICIPANTS		TOTAL
TRAINING	LOCATION	DATES	MALE	FEMALE	
Information Management Training	Mogadishu	8th Mar 2023	13	5	18
Emergency Responder training	Mogadishu	2nd - 3rd May 2023	18	5	23
Emergency Responder training	Baidoa	14th - 15 th May 2023	24	5	29
IPC Acute Food Insecurity (AFI)	Mogadishu	11th -14th Jun 2023	25	9	34
IPC Acute Food Insecurity (AFI)	Hargeisa	18th -21st Jun 2023	27	11	38
TOTAL			107	35	142



Planned Trainings - Q2/Q3

TRAINING	LOCATION	DATES
IPC Acute Food Insecurity (AFI)	Kismayo	3 rd - 6 th July 2023
PC Acute Food Insecurity (AFI)	Garowe	10 th - 13 th Jul 2023
FSC training - IM, Crosscutting Issues and Food Security indicators	Garowe	31st Jul - 2nd Aug 2023
FSC training - IM, Crosscutting Issues and Food Security indicators	Hargeisa	7th - 9th Aug 2023
FSC training - IM, Crosscutting Issues and Food Security indicators	Kismayo	21st - 23rd Aug 2023
Emergency Responder training	Garowe	4th - 6th Sep 2023(TBC)



SOMALIA
FOOD SECURITY
CLUSTER

**ACCOUNTABILITY TO AFFECTED
POPULATIONS (AAP) WORK PLAN**



AAP PRIORITIES - Q3/Q4



1. Develop minimum guidance and template on policy development.
2. Integrate policy/AAP framework development, participatory assessment and monitoring sessions in the forthcoming workshops.
3. Organize a TOT on participatory methodologies.
4. Lead and share best practice in the role of AAP in monitoring practices.
5. Develop and provide guidance on TOR for AAP Focal Points.
6. Organize at least 3 'cluster listening' consultative meetings on community feedback for collective learning.
7. Ensure FSC partners actively participate in the Tri-cluster protection referral initiative.



8. Support partners physically and remotely in direct engagement with communities.
9. Establish FSC Inclusion Technical Group to support partners on matters of inclusion.
10. Disseminate the AAP Rapid Assessment findings to partners at the state level and agree on specific actions for partners



CROSSCUTTING ISSUES/THYMES



1. Lead in Cross-cutting issues Capacity Strengthening activities in Garowe, Kismayu and Hargeisa.
2. Support partners (In collaboration with Gen-cap and Pro-cap) on how to integrate cross-cutting issues in SHF.



Thank
you!