


Ukraine Performance


Completed Surveys: **33**

Q1.2 - Does your organization/agency participate in the national or sub-national Cluster level coordination



● Both ● Sub-National ● National

Q1.3 - Which type does your organization belong to?



II - CORE FUNCTION 1 - Supporting service delivery

Q2.1 - How do you rate your satisfaction with the cluster meetings with regards to...

Field	Not applicable	Don't know	Unsatisfactory, needs major improvement	Good	Satisfactory, but needs minor improvement
Frequency	0	2	0	26	5
Accessibility (in-person and/or remote)	0	1	0	28	4
Language spoken	0	1	0	28	4
Utility of information shared	0	1	3	24	5
Composition of participants	0	4	1	21	7
Discussion on strategic priorities	0	3	1	23	6
Time dedicated to members' presentation/updates	0	3	0	23	7
Sharing meeting minutes and action points	0	4	0	22	7
Overall satisfaction with the meetings	0	2	0	24	7

Q2.1 - How do you rate your satisfaction with the cluster meetings with regards to...

Field	Not applicable	Don't know	Unsatisfactory, needs major improvement	Good	Satisfactory, but needs minor improvement
Frequency	0	2	0	26	5
Accessibility (in-person and/or remote)	0	1	0	28	4
Language spoken	0	1	0	28	4
Utility of information shared	0	1	3	24	5
Composition of participants	0	4	1	21	7
Discussion on strategic priorities	0	3	1	23	6
Time dedicated to members' presentation/updates	0	3	0	23	7
Sharing meeting minutes and action points	0	4	0	22	7
Overall satisfaction with the meetings	0	2	0	24	7

Q2.2 - How would you rate these Food Security Cluster products?

Field	Don't know	Unsatisfactory, needs major improvement	Satisfactory, but needs minor improvement	Good
Monthly dashboard	4	0	12	17
Partners' Presence map	1	1	8	23
Gap Analysis	5	3	8	17
Bulletin/Newsletters/etc.	6	4	2	21
3/4/5W dataset	6	1	7	19
Interactive dashboard	4	1	8	20
Meeting minutes	2	0	7	24
Overall satisfaction with the cluster products	1	1	7	24

Q2.3 - Write any comments or information you wish to add on how the cluster supports service delivery

Write any comments or information you wish to add on how the cluster supports service delivery

Information from the cluster is quick and accessible.

The leadership of the cluster coordination team is well appreciated, i am expecting more capacity building trainings from the cluster as our national actors technical capacity needs improvements

The localization agenda has been aptly demonstrated by the leadership of the cluster with trainings geared towards local organizations including funding opportunities advised to prioritize the local civil society. This has immensely contributed to the integration of the international humanitarian system to the local context actors which has also aided in navigating the access challenge

I think there are improvements coming on the Activity Planning/Dash - so we await. BTW Charles is great and energizing in leading meetings. Kamile was great too when she took over.

Probably one of the best Clusters in Ukraine is FSLC. Well-organized and highly responsive to Cluster participants.

Very pleased with the cluster

Areas of improvements:

Gap analysis needs a lot of improvements

Technical guidance on Food basket composition (s)

Minimum Food basket and CVA value

Sharing of Meeting minutes

Due to the lack of electricity in Ukraine during November-January it was hard to take part in meetings. So, we appreciate a lot that all info had provided by email and all the FSCL's team was accessible via email.

III - CORE FUNCTION 2 - Informing strategic decisions of the HC/HCT


Q3.1 - To the best of your knowledge, has the Cluster coordinated or supported in conducting any sectoral or inter-sectoral needs assessments and surveys (including rapid needs assessments during emergencies, IPC/Cadre harmonize, market assessment, MSNA, etc.) and shared the results?



Q3.2 - How would you rate the process of involving the cluster members?



Q3.3 - Does the cluster conducts regular emergency/contingency plans, gap/duplication analysis?



Q3.4 - To the best of your knowledge, has your Cluster addressed any of these cros...

Field	Not applicable	Don't know	Yes, but very limited	Yes, to some extent	Yes, fully
Age	0	5	1	10	17
Gender	0	3	2	10	18
Protection (incl. SGBV, Child protection)	1	5	1	12	14
Environment/Climate Change/DRR	2	10	2	9	10
Disability	0	6	1	9	17
Others (human rights, diversity, HIV/AIDS, etc)	1	11	3	10	8

Q3.5 - To the best of your knowledge, is the Cluster's response priorities based on specific assessment (IPC/CH, FS&L, Multi-Sectoral, etc) and analyses of risks, needs, gaps, etc



Q3.6 - Please write here any comments or information you wish to add on how the Cluster informs decisions of the HC/HCT.

Please write here any comments or information you wish to add on how the Cluster informs decisions of the HC/HCT.

It would be great to know more about Food Cluster's policies | standarts (may be they are exist but not each organization knows about them)


The Cluster is well-driven on evidence-based data to formulate planning and action in supporting its humanitarian efforts including opportunities for early recovery.

Probably one of the best Clusters in Ukraine is FSLC. Well-organized and hightly responsive to Cluster participants.


Not sure of the IPC and other MSNAs

IV - CORE FUNCTION 3 - Planning and implementing Cluster strategies

Q4.1 - Has the Cluster developed and agreed upon a response plan - such as the HRP/JRP/LCRP (including strategic objectives, activities and indicators)?




Q4.2 - To the best of your knowledge, has the Food Security section of the response plan been inclusive?




Q4.3 - To the best of your knowledge, does the Cluster have common standards and guidelines (ex: unit costing, minimum distribution standards for food, crop and livestock, assistance modality identification, etc.)?



Q4.4 - If yes, how do you rate the usefulness and overall quality of these guidelines?



Q4.5 - To the best of your knowledge, did the FS Cluster adopt an informed, clear criteria and transparent processes in the HC's overall funding plan (example: selecting HPC projects – for countries that follow Project-Based Costing or Activity costing for countries that follow Unit Costing)?



Q4.6 - Write here any comments or information you wish to add about planning and strategy development.

Write here any comments or information you wish to add about planning and strategy development.

I guess not every members know about it.

I think this go around is better. Need OCHA to give seminars of how to apply for UHF membership.


n/a

We are often well informed of the cluster priorities


Maybe this particular information is clear for those organizations, which passed assesment as a major partners in Ukraine. I am lack of information if there any differences among cluster's partners (f.e. according to their contribution or other factors)

V - CORE FUNCTION 4 - Monitoring and evaluating performance

Q5.1 - Is information on needs and activities shared by your organization to the Cluster reflected in Cluster's Information products (bulletins, dashboards, gap analysis)?



Q5.2 - Have Food Security Cluster information products and updates (bulletins, dashboards, gap analysis), needs analysis and response planning supported and influenced your organization's decisions?



Q5.3 - Write here any comments or information you wish to add on how the Cluster has monitored and reported its strategy and results.

Write here any comments or information you wish to add on how the Cluster has monitored and reported its strategy and results.

I think we are showing up on data now.


n/a

The dashboards are great and one of the best in Ukraine


Due to FSCL's analytics we could plan our action more effectively. Appreciate it a lot!

VI - CORE 5 -Building national capacity in preparedness and contingency planning


Q6.1 - Does the cluster facilitates the work around emergency/contingency plans to address potential Food Security needs as a result of hazards and risks?




Q6.2 - To the best of your knowledge, has the contingency plan been developed with other clusters/Inter-cluster?




Q6.3 - To the best of your knowledge, has the contingency plan been developed / aligned with government protocols?



Q6.4 - To the best of your knowledge, has the Cluster discussed what partners might do to strengthen the response capacity in country?



Q6.5 - To the best of your knowledge, has the Food Security Cluster shared and discussed early warning reports and capacity to pre-position for the response?



Q6.6 - Write here any comments or information you wish to add on how the Cluster has supported in building national capacity in preparedness and contingency planning

Write here any comments or information you wish to add on how the Cluster has supported in building national capacity in preparedness and contingency planning


Some answers are not applicable due to the point that we are not constant participants of FSLC and started activities in terms of Flash Appeal

n/a


The cluster requested special training or discussion with UHF and other cluster like the protection cluster.

VII - CORE FUNCTION 6 - Advocacy

Q7.1 - Have issues requiring advocacy messages been discussed during Cluster's meetings?



Q7.2 - Have advocacy activities been undertaken on behalf of the Cluster and its members and affected people in different forums?



Q7.3 - Write here any other comments and information you wish to add on the Cluster's role in advocacy activities.

Write here any other comments and information you wish to add on the Cluster's role in advocacy activities.

I don't attend all the meeting, so cannot say about this kind of activities


What is HC HCT? Since so many people are new to UN because of how big this war is - it is helpful when Info is shared to put in parenthesis what acronyms mean.

Probably one of the best Clusters in Ukraine is FSLC. Well-organized and highly responsive to Cluster participants.


We have not enough capacity to be involved in advocacy work during the active war, sorry

VIII - CORE FUNCTION 7 - Accountability to Affected Populations

Q8.1 - To the best of your knowledge, has the cluster facilitated discussions and experience sharing among partners on effective mechanisms to consult and involve affected people in decision-making / communication with communities?




Q8.2 - To the best of your knowledge, has the cluster facilitated discussions and experience sharing among partners on effective mechanisms to receive, investigate and act upon complaints on the assistance?



Q8.3 - To the best of your knowledge, has the cluster facilitated the sharing of information related to other sectors or response-wide AAP mechanisms, e.g. GBV hotline, PSEA reporting systems, etc. (if these exist in country)?



Q8.4 - Has the Cluster raised and discussed with partners the key issues raised by affected people?



Q8.5 - Write here any comments or information you wish to add on how the Cluster has supported in Accountability to Affected Population


Write here any comments or information you wish to add on how the Cluster has supported in Accountability to Affected Population

n/a

Thanks to the FSCL team, we, as newbies in the humanitarian sector, were informed about the Sphere project and other necessary policies for we must be accountable. It is an excellent opportunity for fast informing and learning.

IX - OVERALL SATISFACTION

Q9 - Based on all the above core functions, how do you rate your overall satisfaction with the Food Security Cluster/Sector in your country?



Q92 - Is there any other comment/question you want to mention? (free text)

Is there any other comment/question you want to mention?

(free text)

The cluster works perfectly. You can get an instant answer to any question, which makes work much easier. Cluster representatives are always ready to help in everything.

I would recommend to make accounting of each FSLC member for fully informing and supporting of donors and NGOs about possibilities and requests (sure if it is possible)

It is hard for me to rate the work of the Cluster as we are local Ukrainian NGO. And it seems for me that the products of the Cluster more relevant for large international actors operating with big budgets and measuring impact on the level of the country.

Again, I appreciate the energy of Charles. I am excited to get to the sub cluster level because I think this will help fill in gaps since much of what we do is way out in the field.

Probably one of the best Clusters in Ukraine is FSLC. Well-organized and highly responsive to Cluster participants.

The coordinator is very strong and has a personal touch. He is excellent.

Many thanks to the international community for supporting Ukraine during hard times. We all appreciate your efforts!

Enjoyed Charles and Camille. I think they are good at presentation for the National group.