FSC Survey Results for possible Global Agriculture task force or working group

SAG meeting
6th of April 2020
Responders

201 responders

Responder involvement with FSC

- FSC coordinator at national or local level: 17%
- FSC member at national or local level: 68%
- gFSC member or observer at HQ or international level: 15%

Current role

- Project cycle: Design, planning, implementation, M&E
- Needs assessment and/or feasibility study
- Coordination
- Specialized technical support unit or department, including decision-making about intervention type
- Proposal-writing, fund-raising and/or fund allocation

Organisations

- International NGO: 38%
- National NGO: 36%
- International Organization/United Nations: 18%
- Government department or organization: 4%
- Donor: 3%
- Academia/University: 3%
- Academia/University: 3%
- Academia/University: 3%
- Other: 17%
Have you sought technical information relating to agricultural interventions to help you in undertaking your roles in relation to interventions in emergency, protracted and/or transitional contexts?

No 11%
Yes 89%

Was the information received adequate?

- Colleagues within your organization or partner organization
- Technical specialist(s) within government
- Technical specialist(s) within academia or research organization
- Food Security Cluster (either formally or informally)
- Internet search / random website
- Learning platform or resource centre
- Producer(s) or producers' organization
- Extension worker or community-based animal health worker
- Private sector company or agro-input dealer
- Other
Seeds and crop-based agricultural interventions

Have you played a supporting role in relation to Seeds and crop-based agricultural interventions in emergency, protracted and/or transitional contexts?

- No: 40%
- Yes: 60%

Have you been able to access adequate technical guidance for the various aspects of seed / crop-based agricultural interventions?

OVERALL:
- 54% reported a need for additional guidance
- 39% reported that information is adequate
- 8% don't know

PC: Needs assessment for seed / crop-based agricultural interventions
PC: Decision-making / feasibility regarding different types of interventions
PC: Sourcing and procurement of appropriate inputs
PC: Implementation modalities for different intervention types
PC: Monitoring, including post-distribution assessments and post-harvest assessments
TI: Identification of appropriate crops / seeds / tools and other inputs
TI: Identification of appropriate agronomic practices and/or technologies
TI: Post-harvest processing and storage
TI: Marketing and market linkages
Livestock and livestock-based agricultural interventions

Have you been able to access adequate technical guidance for the various aspects of Livestock and livestock-based agricultural interventions?

- **Yes**: 52%
- **No**: 48%

Have you played a supporting role in relation to livestock and livestock-based agricultural interventions in emergency, protracted and/or transitional contexts?

**OVERALL:**
- 63% reported a need for additional guidance
- 27% reported that information is adequate
- 10% don't know
Have you been able to access adequate technical guidance for the various aspects of fisheries interventions?

- Yes 16%
- No 84%

- PC: Needs assessment for fisheries interventions
- PC: Decision-making / feasibility regarding different types of interventions
- PC: Sourcing and procurement of appropriate inputs
- PC: Implementation modalities for different intervention types
- PC: Monitoring, including post-distribution assessments and post-harvest assessment
- TI: Identification of appropriate fishing equipment, fish breeds, feeds and other fisheries inputs
- TI: Identification of appropriate fisheries-related practices and/or technologies
- TI: Production and processing of fish products
- TI: Marketing and market linkages
Forestry interventions

Have you been able to access adequate technical guidance for the various aspects of forestry interventions?

- Information is adequate
- Need for additional guidance
- Not sure / Don't know

PC: Needs assessment for forestry interventions
PC: Decision-making / feasibility regarding different types of interventions
PC: Sourcing and procurement of appropriate inputs
PC: Implementation modalities for different intervention types
PC: Monitoring, including post-distribution assessments
Ti: Identification of appropriate tree species, tree seedlings and other forestry inputs
Ti: Identification of appropriate forestry-related practices and/or technologies
Ti: Production and processing of timber and non-timber forest products
Ti: Marketing and market linkages

Have you played a supporting role in relation to forestry interventions in emergency, protracted and/or transitional contexts?
Are there other agricultural sub-sectors or technical agricultural issues for which you need additional technical support?

**OTHER SUB-SECTORS PROPOSED:**

1. Climate smart agriculture
2. Irrigation
3. Natural Resources Management
4. Extension methods & training
5. Pest control
6. Kitchen gardens
7. Agri-business / entrepreneurship
Main Findings

• Good participation to the survey and good representation: national, international NGOs and UN. Respondents represent different geographical areas and organizational background/roles.

• Most of the respondents are involved with **Seeds/crops** (60%) and **Livestock** (52%) sub-sectors but very few with fisheries (16%) and forestry (16%)

• Majority of respondents expressed the **needs** for more guidance:

  1) **for seeds/crops**: marketing, agronomic practices/technologies, and post-harvest management

  2) **for livestock**: Needs assessment, Implementation modalities for different intervention types, Sourcing and procurement of appropriate inputs
Results highlight the need to provide guidance on Agriculture (specially for SCL).

a) Creation of a new Working Group  
b) Establishment of a Task Force within an existing Working Group  
c) Expansion of an existing Working Group to include "SCL agriculture" (or similar) in its name

Guidance on seeds/crops priorities (according to the survey):

- technical guidance in market linkages, post harvest management and the identification of appropriate agronomic practices / technologies  
- This can also be linked with the other sub-sectors proposed, e.g. climate smart agriculture

Guidance on livestock priorities (according to the survey):

- methodological guidance on the project cycle, notably needs assessment, implementation modalities and procurement  
- This can also be linked with other sub-sectors proposed, e.g. NRM
Next steps:

✓ SAG validation and establishment ;

✓ Chairs, co-chairs & focal point ;

✓ Working session to define Terms of Reference (gFSC partners meeting) ;

✓ Workplan & agreement on priorities ;

✓ Linkages/work with other WGs (e.g. COVID, etc.) ;