



<b>Dates of Training</b>	24 <sup>th</sup> – 26 <sup>th</sup> April 2018
<b>Venue and location</b>	Rainbow Hotel - Juba, South Sudan
<b>Commissioning organisation</b>	Food Security and Livelihoods Cluster (FSL) in collaboration with Vétérinaires Sans Frontières-Suisse (VSF Suisse) and Rural Community Action for Peace and Development (RUCAPD)
<b>Name and email of commissioning organisation contact person</b>	Kevin Miheso: <a href="mailto:kevin.miheso@vsf-suisse.org">kevin.miheso@vsf-suisse.org</a> Ntando Mlobane: <a href="mailto:Ntando_Mlobane@wvi.org">Ntando_Mlobane@wvi.org</a> Shantosh Karki: <a href="mailto:shantosh.karki@wfp.org">shantosh.karki@wfp.org</a> FSL Cluster, South Sudan: <a href="mailto:info.southsudan@fslcluster.org">info.southsudan@fslcluster.org</a>
<b>Summary agenda</b>	Attached – Annex 1
<b>Number of male participants</b>	20
<b>Number of female participants</b>	04
<b>Participant list</b>	Attached – Annex 2
<b>Names of LEGS Trainers</b>	Kwajok Tongun and Evaristo Malenje
<b>Comments/feedback from the Trainers</b>	<p>The training was supported by FSL Cluster South Sudan in collaboration with VSF Suisse and RUCAPD. It was attended by experienced and knowledgeable participants who were willing to learn and share their experiences and challenges during project implementation with us.</p> <p>We are delighted to have had the opportunity to facilitate this first ever LEGS training under the FSL cluster in South Sudan. We are happy to meet very cooperative and interactive participants during the 3 days training. During the interactions with the participants it came out clearly that there is need to incorporate LEGS in livestock interventions and projects in the country. The need for the trainings to be continued and also rolled out to other states was also overwhelming. We are planning to engage the Livestock Working Group (LWG) under the umbrella of FSL South Sudan to consider plans for more training in the Country, hence to enhance the capacities of livestock actors in particular and Humanitarian actors in general. This will enable all livestock partners as well as policy makers not only to know about LEGS but also factor it in all the livestock project phases.</p> <p>We are hopeful that as these trainings continue, a tool will be developed to track how well the LEGS approach is being incorporated in livestock projects and the impact it will have achieved in our communities.</p> <p>Finally, Our vote of thanks goes to the FSL Cluster, especially the LWG,</p>

	<p>VSF Suisse, FAO and RUCAPD for organizing and engaging us to facilitate the training. We look forward to more engagement and facilitations of the same training within the country and more especially at field levels (states).</p>
<p><b>Comments/feedback from commissioning organization</b></p>	<p>VSF Suisse on behalf of the FSL Cluster and Livestock Technical Working Group congratulates the trainers (Dr Kwajok Tongun and Dr Evaristo Malenje) for a successful LEGS training, a first for the Livestock Working Group in South Sudan.</p> <p>I know it has been a rigorous and intensive process, from the preparatory phases to its final execution. Both of you worked very hard and proved not only to yourselves but all of us at the working group.</p> <p>You are truly an asset to the team and the working group as a whole. I hope this will motivate both of you further as we continue imparting knowledge, skills and experiences to key stakeholders in the livestock in the country.</p>

## SUMMARY OF EVALUATION RESPONSES

### EVALUATION SUMMARY

#### 1. Course objectives and relevance

1.1 Do you think the following objectives of the training have been met?	Not met	Partly met	Mostly met	Fully met
Describe and apply the LEGS approach	0	3	13	8
Identify appropriate livelihoods-based livestock interventions in emergency response	0	2	10	12
Design and implement these interventions according to LEGS standards and guidelines	0	0	12	12

Was the course relevant for your work? Yes / No:

- ✓ 23 participants answered yes and 1 declined to answer the question.

Why:

- ✓ 17 participants said the course was relevant and will help them in planning, designing, implementing, monitoring and evaluating livestock interventions in South Sudan.
- ✓ 4 participants said they have acquired knowledge on LEGS and its application in emergencies.
- ✓ 3 declined to answer the question.

#### 2. Workshop design

##### 2.1 What did you like about the overall design and structure of the course?

- ✓ Training was good.
- ✓ Good time keeping.
- ✓ Content was covered as scheduled
- ✓ Use of case studies helped participant to understand the training contents.
- ✓ Training design was consistent and sequential
- ✓ Case studies were participatory, interactive and inclusive.
- ✓ Interaction among participations was encouraged.
- ✓ Simplified slides/contents.
- ✓ Well done.
- ✓ Design and structure was well articulated and arranged.
- ✓ Use of participatory methods to deliver the course.
- ✓ Availability of LEGS to be integrated with other project design and implementation.
- ✓ Everything because the trainers were organized.

## 2.2 How do you think the design and structure of the course can be improved?

- ✓ More time for practical sessions/ group works.
- ✓ Inclusion of more stakeholders during selection.
- ✓ Increase number of participants.
- ✓ It is good, because it is a full package for livestock intervention.
- ✓ Use of more local examples/case studies to suit the context of South Sudan.
- ✓ Use of practical session/pictures displaying South Sudan context.
- ✓ Good, but more time for group work needed.
- ✓ More time for the training schedules
- ✓ Well done and good design.
- ✓ M&E aspect need more time.
- ✓ Put more local specific context cases.
- ✓ Use local cases studies based on South Sudan context.
- ✓ Scheduling more training of LEGS.
- ✓ Increase the number of days for the training.

## 3. Presentation

3.1 The presentation and Poor  
facilitation of the workshop was:

Adequate

Good

Very  
good

### What are your comments on Kwajok Tongun?

- ✓ Complementary to Evaristo
- ✓ Has good mastery of LEGS handbook.
- ✓ Good presentation skills.
- ✓ Allows participants to think before giving the answer.
- ✓ Good presentation with soft voice
- ✓ Carries participants to extend.
- ✓ Good in facilitation and presentation
- ✓ Good at participatory approaches and skills.
- ✓ Knowledgeable on LEGS.
- ✓ Good, cooperative and humble
- ✓ Experienced trainer on local context.
- ✓ Audible

### What are your comments on Evaristo Malenje?

- ✓ Good use of body language in explaining points.
- ✓ Knowledgeable about LEGS.
- ✓ Uses participatory cantered approach
- ✓ Excellent in presentation
- ✓ Excellent presentation
- ✓ Good explanation
- ✓ Use of vivid examples
- ✓ Good facilitator

- ✓ Energetic young and intelligent in LEGS
- ✓ Supportive and cooperative during group work
- ✓ Audible
- ✓ Good, cooperative and humble

### **3.2 Do you have any suggestions for alternative ways of facilitating the workshop/training?**

- ✓ Add more home grow examples for easy understanding and relevant in South Sudan context
- ✓ More visual presentation of case studies.
- ✓ Inclusion of more female participants.
- ✓ Most of the participants had no comments about the question.

## **4. Content**

### **4.1 Which session or topic did you find most useful, and why?**

- ✓ 5 participants said all topics/sessions were relevant and useful because they are inter-linked and essential for achieving set objectives in projects that target livestock in emergencies and recovery, like the case of South Sudan.
- ✓
- ✓ M&E, because it showed how to benchmark livestock projects as well as record impacts based on technical interventions chosen.
- ✓ PRIM. It is a very essential tool because it involves stakeholders in selecting the most appropriate and timely interventions.
- ✓ Participation and Initial assessment. Because I learnt a lot of participatory methods of carrying out initial assessment and the challenges I can face in doing good assessment. It was good that other participants shared on how they overcome these challenges in the field.
- ✓ Response plan and monitoring plan. These are very good tools because they put together/ incorporate all the other topics learnt in the previous sessions including the PRIM and shows clearly what is to be done when.
- ✓ The four stages of LEGS response, because these can be used in the other projects that can be integrated in with livestock emergency projects.
- ✓ Types of indicators and how to design them. Designing indicators gave me the reason why I should always give details whenever designing livestock projects in emergencies. The six technical interventions, helps me to intervene for emergency in livestock context.
- ✓ LEGS livelihood objectives. These 3 fit well into the South Sudan context of the emergency situation as well as the aspects of protection and rebuilding.
- ✓ The six technical interventions. I found them most useful because these are given in the handbook in a comprehensive way together with their Guidance notes, Key Actions and Minimum standards.
- ✓ 3 participants mentioned some of the above topics but did not answer the “why” part.

### **4.2 Which session or topic did you find least useful, and why?**

- ✓ 20 trainees said all the topics/sessions were useful.
- ✓ 4 said the use of case studies and technical interventions such as shelter and feed in the manual were not typical of the South Sudan context

#### 4.3 Was there anything not included in the workshop that needs to be? If so, what is it?

- ✓ 20 said they have no comments as the workshop was well organized, designed and met their expectations.
- ✓ 2 did not comment and 2 requested for more videos, pictures and case studies relevant to South Sudan context.

#### 5. Satisfaction

5.1 Overall, how would you rate this course?

Poor

Adequate

Good

Very good

#### 5.2 Any further comments

- ✓ There is need for refresher training (3).
- ✓ Training should be designed for at least 5 days (2).
- ✓ No comment (5).
- ✓ Declined to answer (5).
- ✓ Need to be context specific in South Sudan (2).
- ✓ Keep equipping the youth.
- ✓ More training to be organized to reach other partners who did not attend the training at least twice a year.
- ✓ It should be repeated for the second time.
- ✓ Media coverage to be considered for broadcast improvement in livestock sector.
- ✓ Increase number of participants from 24 to 30.

#### 5.3 Tell us in one word how you would describe this training:

- ✓ Integrative
- ✓ Well done
- ✓ Very useful (3)
- ✓ Relevant (2)
- ✓ Interesting
- ✓ Fruitful
- ✓ Participatory
- ✓ Good (2)
- ✓ Very Good and fruitful
- ✓ Informative
- ✓ Knowledgeable
- ✓ Wonderful
- ✓ Fantastic (2)
- ✓ Beneficial
- ✓ Marvellous
- ✓ Excellent (2)
- ✓ Helpful
- ✓ Interesting

## Annex 1: Training Agenda

Timing	Tuesday, 24 <sup>th</sup> April	Wednesday, 25 <sup>th</sup> April	Thursday 26 <sup>th</sup> April
08:30 Am 09:00 Am	Participant Registration (starting day 8:00)	Recap	Recap
09:00 Am 10:30 Am	<b>Session 1:</b> Introduction to the LEGS Training	<b>Session 5:</b> Response Identification (1)	<b>Session 9:</b> Monitoring
10:30 Am 11:00 Am	<b>Morning break</b>		
11:00 Am 12:30 Pm	<b>Session 2:</b> Livestock and Livelihoods in the Humanitarian Context	<b>Session 6:</b> Response Identification (2)	<b>Session 10:</b> Response Planning (1)
12:30 Pm 01:30 Pm	<b>Lunch</b>		
01:30 Pm 03:00 Pm	<b>Session 3:</b> Introduction to the LEGS Handbook	<b>Session 7:</b> Analysis of Technical Interventions and Options (1)	<b>Session 11:</b> Response Planning (2)
03: 00 Pm 03:30 Pm	<b>Afternoon break</b>		
03:30 Pm 05:00 Pm	<b>Session 4:</b> Participation and Initial Assessment	<b>Session 8:</b> Analysis of Technical Interventions and Options (2)	<b>Session 12:</b> Closing Session
500 Pm	<b>Closing</b>		

**Annex 2: List of Participants**

S/No	Names of Participants	Gender	Organization	Title	E- mail
1.	Elijah Mwangi	M	CAFAD	Project Manager	<a href="mailto:cafad.southsudan.org@gmail.com">cafad.southsudan.org@gmail.com</a>
2.	Peter Majur Ador	M	NPA	Project Coordinator	<a href="mailto:pador@npaid.org">pador@npaid.org</a>
3.	James Thiey	M	CH	Programme officer	<a href="mailto:info@coalitionforhumanityss.org">info@coalitionforhumanityss.org</a>
4.	Akena Ponse	M	WASI	Programme officer	<a href="mailto:infor.wasi@gmail.com">infor.wasi@gmail.com</a>
5.	Nazarene Gieth Monykuch	M	WVSS	FSL - Project Officer	<a href="mailto:nazarene_geith@wvi.org">nazarene_geith@wvi.org</a>
6.	Ngong Garang Mawien	M	ASCO	Veterinary Officer	<a href="mailto:ascogroup1@gmail.com">ascogroup1@gmail.com</a>
7.	Jurugo Henry Ali	M	RRF/IOM	Project Assistant Agriculture & FSL	<a href="mailto:rfsouthsudan@iom.int">rfsouthsudan@iom.int</a>
8.	Lisok Emmanuel	M	FTI	Executive director, Farmers Training Institute	<a href="mailto:lisokema@gmail.com">lisokema@gmail.com</a>
9.	Linnet Soja Ogesi	F	Impact Actions	Country Program Coordinator	<a href="mailto:impactactions17@gmail.com">impactactions17@gmail.com</a>
10.	Hellen Turkia Joseph	F	LWDO	Executive director	<a href="mailto:hellenambowa@gmail.com">hellenambowa@gmail.com</a>
11.	Thon Gai Agoot	M	FCDI	Programme Manager	<a href="mailto:fcdi2010@gmail.com">fcdi2010@gmail.com</a>
12.	Ohide salvatore	M	RCDI	FSL - Project Officer	<a href="mailto:ohid.rcdi@gmail.com">ohid.rcdi@gmail.com</a>
13.	David Diing Deng	M	AAID	FSL-Program Office	<a href="mailto:aaid.org2016@gmail.com">aaid.org2016@gmail.com</a>
14.	Nyeko Richard sisto	M	GRADO	FSL-project manager	<a href="mailto:richardgrado2018@gmail.com">richardgrado2018@gmail.com</a>
15.	Charles Wani	M	STO	Executive Director	<a href="mailto:charleswani@gmail.com">charleswani@gmail.com</a>
16.	Wafula Gift	F	NIDO	Livestock Specialist	<a href="mailto:nidoorg1@gmail.com">nidoorg1@gmail.com</a>

17.	John Both Kai	M	ALSI	Livestock Specialist	<a href="mailto:bothkay2017@gmail.com">bothkay2017@gmail.com</a>
18.	Ben Kangwony Kemboi,	M	AHC	Program Coordinator,	<a href="mailto:benkangwony@gmail.com">benkangwony@gmail.com</a> ,
19.	Nakaweesa Alice	F	DARO	Programme Officer	<a href="mailto:infodarosouthsudan@gmail.com">infodarosouthsudan@gmail.com</a>
20.	John Mamur Bago	M	ACEM	Vet Officer	<a href="mailto:abelacemission@gmail.com">abelacemission@gmail.com</a>
21.	Cinya Robert Rufino	M	ACTED	FSL Technical Coordinator	<a href="mailto:cinya.robert@acted.org">cinya.robert@acted.org</a>
22.	Botino Malual Kok	M	FAO	Livestock Officer	<a href="mailto:kokbotino@gmail.com">kokbotino@gmail.com</a>
23.	Aluma Araba	M	FAO	Livestock Officer	<a href="mailto:Aluma.Ameri@fao.org">Aluma.Ameri@fao.org</a>
24.	George Geng Malong	M	VSF Suisse	Field Officer- Livestock	<a href="mailto:george.malong@vsf-suisse.org">george.malong@vsf-suisse.org</a>

### Annex 3: Participants roles table

Roles	Day I	Day II	Day III
<b>Time Keeping</b>	Elijah	Majur	Charles Wani
<b>Recap</b>	Lisok Emmanuel George Geng Richard	Wafula Gift Ohide Salvatore Hellen Turkia	N/A
<b>Evaluation</b>	James Thiey John Both Aluma Aeri	Lenet Soja Ngong Thon Gai	Robert Nazarene Deng
<b>Ice breaking/energize</b>	Ponse Akena	Nakaweesa Alice	Botino Malual
<b>Photography</b>	Ben Kangwong	John Mamur	Jurugo Henry

#### Annex 4: Expectations and Fears

Expectations	Fears
To know how to use the LEGS handbook	Time limitations, content might not be covered.
To under clearly what LEGS is and its importance	Will LEGS address PH, especially slaughter house?
To get knowledge to help me train my CAHWs on LEGS.	Is there certificate
Meeting new friends in the field of livestock	There will be no field visit
To get knowledge about livestock diseases and intervention	Lack of capacity to implement LEGS
Knowledge and handbook	Poor time management by participants
To under the link between sphere and LEGS	Mixed education background of participants
Better understanding LEGS in the humanitarian context	No effective participation
To get certificate	No Incentives
To know how LEGS will help cattle Keepers	Lack of proper facilitation
To learn how to be a LEGS trainer/facilitator	How to in cooperate LEGS in CBAHW training
Expected interactive training	No refreshment
To know integration of LEGS in to GBV	No female facilitator(s)
To learn how to conduct livestock assessment, analyse and respond	
To know interventions for solving cattle rustling	
Good facilitation and feeding	

Photo Gallery



On-going group work on using the handbook to identify appropriate technical interventions



On-going outside group work on design of Response Plan



Group work on monitoring plan



Ongoing group work on PRIM development



Group work on SMART indicators in the design of monitoring and response plans.



Group photo after the training with participants including Shantosh karki, the FSL representative