Why five (5) sub-working groups?

1. Anticipate the deterioration of the food security situation due to C19
2. Adapting operations to Covid 19
3. Influence decision makers for a proper management of C19 impacts onto FSL
4. Ensure effectiveness and efficiency through multi-sectorial packages of activities
5. Learn impact of global policies on the supply chains and ensuing food security

But many interactions...

- Advocacy orientations fed by deliverables of the other working groups (R1, R2, R5)
- Priority countries for Policy impacts on the supply chains from R1
- Weekly interactions so far...
Result 1 : Monitoring the risks & impacts on food security
Lead : Matthew Day - Reach

- Joint monitoring framework guidance document
- Identify countries most at risk
- In-depth monitoring of the impacts in high & very high risk countries
- Trends of FSL response
Result 2 : Adapting operations to Covid 19
Co-leads : Aftab Alam (Plan International) & Julie Mayans (Solidarités International)

- **3 guidances notes out**
  1. Seeds/crops,
  2. Livestock,
  3. Support to food markets

- **Link with FAO on dissemination of FAO products**
  3 posters on food hygiene for food consumers, food businesses and food safety authorities

- **Next steps**
  - dissemination plan for the guidances (webinar, etc.)
  - New guidances (link with the Cash & Market WG for conditional cash assistance; link with PQWG on cooked meals, targeting, etc.)
  - **Mainstream in the other TWG**
Result 3 : Advocacy
Lead: Angeline Munzara (World Vision International)

- Develop and disseminate key advocacy messages to influence national, regional, global policies and funding priorities

- Series of Covid 19 and Food security aftershocks reports for donors & governments

- Identification of targets and development of a communications plan to disseminate advocacy messages at prioritized events
**Result 4: Inter-cluster coordination**

Lead: Bruno Minjauw (global Food Security Cluster)

- Leadership issue & legitimacy of the gFSC
- Timid initiative from OCHA
- No concrete perspective

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCM</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Health</th>
<th>Nutrition</th>
<th>Prevention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Inter-Cluster Matrix for Covid19 Response (11 April 2020)**

- CCCM: Managing risk, operational, and financial impacts on health and livelihoods
- Food Security: Planning for re-opening of schools, including support for the poor
- Health: Development of guidelines for schools, including support for the poor
- Nutrition: Conducting studies on nutrition and the prevention of stunted growth
- Prevention: Ensuring the most effective risk communication and social mobilization

*For more details, refer to the attached document.*
Result 5: Information from the Wider Food Industry

Lead: Ann Koontz (Relief International)

**Lead Universities:** Roma 3 and James Madison University; other collaborator discussions with Purdue, University of Chicago, and Fund for Peace.

**Research Topics:**
- Picked 11 case study countries and finalizing focus commodities
- Will use secondary data analyses
  - Food imports and exports bans & food security in 2020
  - Food production and processing & food supplies
  - Learnings from previous bans and advocacy past 2 decades
Overall effectiveness

- Timing of the activation of the C19 working group?
- Strong mobilization from global partners: 7 leads but over 95 members!
- Weak or inexistent inter-cluster initiatives related to C19 responses
- How we can be better coordinated within food security?

Many thanks for your enthusiasm and attention!