

NIGERIA FOOD SECURITY SECTOR

Strengthening Humanitarian Response



Response rate among partners

Partner type	Number partners responding	Response rate (%)
International NGOs	24	62%
National NGOs	2	5%
UN Organization	5	13%
ICRC/IFRC	1	3%
National Authority	7	18%
Total	39	



Performance Grid



Score	Performance Status
> 75%	Good
51-75%	Satisfactory, needs minor improvement
26-50%	Unsatisfactory, needs major improvement
≤ 25%	Weak

1. Supporting service delivery



Questions	Score	Performance Status
Providing a platform that ensures service delivery is driven by the Humanitarian Response Plan and strategic priorities	56%	Satisfactory, needs minor improvement
Developing mechanisms to eliminate duplication of service delivery	75%	Satisfactory, needs minor improvement
Overall (Supporting service delivery)		Satisfactory, needs minor improvement

2. Informing strategic decisions of the HC/HCT



Questions	Score	Performance Status
Preparing needs assessments and analysis of gaps (across and within Clusters, using information management tools as needed) to inform the setting of priorities		Unsatisfactory, needs major improvement
Identifying and finding solutions for (emerging) gaps, obstacles, duplication and cross-cutting issues	0%	Weak
Formulating priorities on the basis of analysis	50%	Unsatisfactory, needs major improvement
Overall (Informing strategic decisions of the HC/HCT)	18%	Weak

3. Planning and implementing Cluster strategies



Questions	Score	Performance Status
Developing sectoral plans, objectives and indicators that directly support realization of the overall response's strategic objectives	0%	Weak
Applying and adhering to common standards and guidelines	0%	Weak
Clarifying funding requirements, helping to set priorities, and agreeing Cluster contributions to the HC's overall humanitarian funding proposals	8%	Weak
Overall (Planning and implementing Cluster strategies)	10%	Weak

4. Monitoring and evaluating performance



Questions	Score	Performance Status
Overall (Monitoring and evaluating performance)	13%	Weak

5. Building national capacity in preparedness and contingency planning



Questions	Score	Performance Status
Overall (Building national capacity in preparedness and contingency planning)	0%	Weak

6. Advocacy



Questions	Score	Performance Status
Overall (Advocacy)	0%	Weak

7. Accountability to affected populations



Questions	Score	Performance Status
Overall (Accountability to affected populations)	0%	Weak

Comments

1. Supporting service delivery

- The locked, Excel drop-down format is annoying and laborious to use, and the requirement to divide out beneficiary numbers by localities that are smaller than our program reporting requirements adds time as we must calculate just for this cluster document There must be a better way than terribly designed Excel rows!!
- the sector meeting is more of information shearing rather than solutions making to the gaps and challenges identify facing the wellbeing of the affected population and the sector mode of operations. so if the sector will change the mode and attitudes n addressing problems it will be highly appreciated.
- should be all inclucive
- We constantly collaborate with government to formulate response programme
- no matter how much discussed during the meeting still individual organisations will go about doing there own activities differently on there Own.
- I been if informed the cluster of any gaps or challenges facing affected population no any much action is taken rather organization like been in areas where other implimenting thwere activities while many are in need else where.
- There is need to come up with a structured use of the information that is collected.
- The basic of the cluster: to allow better coordination, is not even done for the moment, as there is no clear 4W, nor map and we still have to struggle to get the information of who is doing what where.



Thank you!

