

# FSL-C South Sudan

CCPM results  
February/March 2017



FSL-C Meeting, 03 Maz 2017



- **What:** Self-assessment exercise against the six core cluster functions and AAP; and country-led process, supported globally.
- **Why:** Identifying strengths and weaknesses of performance and paths to improvement
- **When:** Annually
- **By whom:** All cluster partners and coordinators



### How:

- CC completes a cluster description survey (online);
- CC and cluster partners each complete separate (online) feedback questionnaires (20-30 minutes);
- Global Clusters use an automated system to compile survey data and produce a Preliminary Cluster Coordination Performance Report, incl. a colour coded analysis of the six core functions and on AAP;
- Discussion of the cluster description and the survey results and agreeing on specific actions that could be taken to improve performance if necessary.

## Response rate among partners



Partner type	Number partners responding	Total number of partners	Response rate (%)
International NGOs	15	30	50%
National NGOs	15	30	50%
UN organizations	--	--	--
National authority	--	--	--
Donors	--	--	--
Others	--	--	--
<b>Total</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>100%</b>



Score	Performance Status
> 75%	<b>Good</b>
51-75%	<b>Satisfactory, needs minor improvement</b>
26-50%	<b>Unsatisfactory, needs major improvement</b>
≤ 25%	<b>Weak</b>

## 1. Supporting service delivery

---



Questions	Score	Performance Status
Providing a platform that ensures service delivery is driven by the <b>Humanitarian Response Plan</b> and <b>strategic priorities</b>	92%	Good
Developing mechanisms to <b>eliminate duplication of service delivery</b>	94%	Good
<b>Overall (Supporting service delivery)</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>Good</b>

## 2. Informing strategic decisions of the HC/HCT



Questions	Score	Performance Status
Preparing <b>needs assessments and analysis of gaps</b> (across and within Clusters, using information management tools as needed) to inform the setting of priorities	80%	Good
Identifying and finding <b>solutions for (emerging) gaps, obstacles, duplication and cross-cutting issues</b>	67%	Satisfactory, needs minor improvement
Formulating <b>priorities</b> on the <b>basis of analysis</b>	100%	Good
<b>Overall (Informing strategic decisions of the HC/HCT)</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>Satisfactory, needs minor improvement</b>

### 3. Planning and implementing Cluster strategies



Questions	Score	Performance Status
Developing <b>sectoral plans, objectives and indicators</b> that directly support realization of the overall response's strategic objectives	73%	Satisfactory, needs minor improvement
Applying and adhering to <b>common standards and guidelines</b>	100%	Good
Clarifying <b>funding requirements</b> , helping to set priorities, and agreeing Cluster contributions to the HC's overall humanitarian funding proposals	71%	Satisfactory, needs minor improvement
<b>Overall (Planning and implementing Cluster strategies)</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>Satisfactory, needs minor improvement</b>



## 4. Monitoring and evaluating performance

---



Questions	Score	Performance Status
Overall (Monitoring and evaluating performance)	75%	Satisfactory, needs minor improvement

- More consistent reporting by partners
- Making more use of the information available

## 5. Building national capacity in preparedness and contingency planning

---



Questions	Score	Performance Status
Overall (Building national capacity in preparedness and contingency planning)	75%	Satisfactory, needs minor improvement

→ National and sub-national capacity building to be strengthened

## 6. Advocacy

---



Questions	Score	Performance Status
Overall (Advocacy)	75%	Satisfactory, needs minor improvement

→ Advocacy messaging to be strengthened

## 7. Accountability to affected populations

---



Questions	Score	Performance Status
Overall (Accountability to affected populations)	94%	Good

→ Monthly AAP session during one of the FSL-C meetings and additional trainings upon demand

## Comments



- Regular (bi-weekly) **meetings** appreciated by partners
- More emphasis on strengthening coordination with **sub-clusters**
- Welcoming the **information shared** by the FSL-C and through the website
- Request for running **TWGs**
- More focus on building capacity of **local partners**
- Developing **advocacy messages** and sharing for action